

NONCOMMUTATIVE TRANSFORMS AND FREE PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

GELU POPESCU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study free pluriharmonic functions on noncommutative balls $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, and their boundary behavior. These functions have the form

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} b_\alpha X_\alpha^* + a_0 I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha X_\alpha, \quad a_\alpha, b_\alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

where the convergence of the series is in the operator norm topology for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$, and $B(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The main tools used in this study are certain noncommutative transforms which are introduced in the present paper and which generalize the classical transforms of Berezin, Poisson, Fantappiè, Herglotz, and Cayley. Several classical results from complex analysis have free analogues in our noncommutative multivariable setting.

CONTENTS

Introduction

1. *Multi-Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces and their Fourier representations*
2. *Noncommutative Berezin transforms and free pluriharmonic functions*
3. *Bounded free pluriharmonic functions*
4. *Dirichlet extension problem for free pluriharmonic functions*
5. *Noncommutative transforms: Fantappiè, Herglotz, and Poisson*
6. *The Banach space $Har^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$*
7. *Noncommutative Cayley transforms*
8. *Carathéodory interpolation for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts*

References

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, significant progress has been made in noncommutative multivariable operator theory regarding noncommutative dilation theory, its applications to interpolation in several variables, and unitary invariants for n -tuples of operators. In [35], we developed a theory of holomorphic functions in several noncommuting (free) variables and provide a framework for the study of arbitrary n -tuples of operators. This theory enhances our program to develop a *free* analogue of Sz.-Nagy–Foiaş theory [44], for row contractions.

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$ and the identity g_0 . The length of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ is defined by $|\alpha| := 0$ if $\alpha = g_0$ and $|\alpha| := k$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$, where $B(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space

Date: January 12, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47A56; 47A13; 46L52; Secondary: 46L07; 46T25; 47A57.

Key words and phrases. Multivariable operator theory; Free pluriharmonic function; Free holomorphic function; Berezin transform; Poisson transform; Fantappiè transform; Herglotz transform; Cayley transform; Fock space; Creation operators; Dirichlet extension problem; Carathéodory interpolation; Multi-Toeplitz operator.

Research supported in part by an NSF grant.

$\mathcal{H}$, we denote $X_\alpha := X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$ and $X_{g_0} := I_{\mathcal{H}}$. Free pluriharmonic functions arise in the study of free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative open unit ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 := \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \|X_1 X_1^* + \cdots + X_n X_n^*\|^{1/2} < 1\}.$$

We recall that a map $f : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is called free holomorphic function with scalar coefficients if

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2k} \leq 1 \text{ and } f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha X_\alpha, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

We say that $h : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a self-adjoint free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ if $h = \operatorname{Re} f$ for some free holomorphic function f . An arbitrary free pluriharmonic function is a linear combination of self-adjoint free pluriharmonic functions.

In this paper, we study free pluriharmonic functions on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and their boundary behavior. The main tools used in this study are noncommutative transforms which generalize the classical transforms of Berezin, Poisson, Fantappiè, Herglotz-Riesz, and Cayley (see [2], [18], [17], [7], [38], [39], [40]). We show that several classical results from complex analysis have free analogues in our noncommutative multivariable setting.

Multi-Toeplitz operators on the full Fock space on n generators $F^2(H_n)$ have played an important role in multivariable operator theory ([24], [27], [31], [33], [34], [37], [9]). In Section 1, we associate with each multi-Toeplitz operator a formal Fourier series

$$\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n) = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} b_\alpha S_\alpha^* + a_0 I + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} a_\alpha S_\alpha,$$

where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are the left creation operators on $F^2(H_n)$. We show that a multi-Toeplitz operator is determined by its Fourier series and can be recaptured from it. The main result of Section 1 is a characterization of the multi-Toeplitz operators in terms of their Fourier representations. As a consequence, we deduce that the set of all multi-Toeplitz operators coincides with $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n}^{SOT} = \overline{\mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n}^{WOT}$, where $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the noncommutative disc algebra ([25], [28]), i.e., the norm closed algebra generated by $S_1, \dots, S_n$ and the identity.

Let $\operatorname{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ be the set of all free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients. When the coefficients are scalars, we use the notation $\operatorname{Har}_{\mathbb{C}}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. An important role in the study of the free pluriharmonic functions and their boundary behavior is played by the noncommutative Berezin transforms $\mathcal{B}_\mu$, introduced in Section 2, which are associated with completely bounded maps μ on $B(F^2(H_n))$.

Throughout this paper, the Berezin transform B_τ , where τ is the linear functional on $B(F^2(H_n))$ defined by $\tau(f) := \langle f(1), 1 \rangle$, will be called Poisson transform because it coincides with the noncommutative Poisson transform introduced in [30]. If $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$ and $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, then the Poisson transform of f at X satisfies the equations

$$P_X[f] = B_\tau(f, X) = K_X^*(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_X,$$

where K_X is the noncommutative Poisson kernel.

The classical characterization of the harmonic functions on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ as continuous functions with the mean value property has a noncommutative analogue in our setting. We show that a free pluriharmonic function $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is uniquely determined by its radial function

$$[0, 1) \ni r \mapsto u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n$$

and the *Poisson mean value property*, i.e., $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = P_{\perp X}[u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]$ for $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. This characterization is used to obtain a Weierstrass type convergence theorem for free pluriharmonic functions, which enables us to introduce a metric on $\operatorname{Har}_{\mathbb{C}}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ with respect to which it becomes a complete metric space.

We prove a Harnack type inequality (see [7], [39] for the classical result) for positive free pluriharmonic functions and obtain a Harnack type convergence theorem for increasing sequences of free pluriharmonic functions, as well as a maximum (resp. minimum) principle for free pluriharmonic functions.

In Section 3, we characterize the set $Har_{\mathbb{C}}^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ of all bounded free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ in terms of the boundary functions in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n}^{SOT}$ and obtain a Fatou type result [18] for bounded free pluriharmonic functions, which extends the F_n^{∞} -functional calculus for pure row contractions [26].

The Dirichlet problem ([18], [7]) for the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ states: given a continuous function f on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, find a continuous function h on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $h|_{\mathbb{T}} = f$ and $h|_{\mathbb{D}}$ is harmonic. This problem is completely solved by the Poisson integral formula. In Section 4, we consider an analogue of this problem for free pluriharmonic functions. We prove that a function $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is free pluriharmonic and has continuous extension (in the operator norm topology) to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ if and only if there exists $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that

$$u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = P_X[f], \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

A similar result is provided for the class of C^* -harmonic functions $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ which have continuous extensions (in the operator norm topology) to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. A version of the maximum principle for C^* -harmonic functions is also obtained.

In Section 5, we introduce noncommutative versions of Fantappiè, Herglotz, and Poisson transforms associated with completely bounded maps on the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ (or $B(F^2(H_n))$), where $\mathcal{R}_n$ is the noncommutative disc algebra generated by the right creation operators $R_1, \dots, R_n$ on $F^2(H_n)$ and the identity. These transforms are used to obtain characterizations for the set of all free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with positive real parts, and to study the geometric structure and boundary behavior of the free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

In particular, we obtain the following noncommutative analogue of the Herglotz-Riesz representation theorem ([17], [38]): if $f : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a free holomorphic function with $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$ on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, then there is a positive linear map μ on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ such that

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (H\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) + i(\operatorname{Im} f(0)),$$

where the noncommutative Herglotz transform $H\mu : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$(H\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) := (\mu \otimes \operatorname{id}) [2(I - R_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - R_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I]$$

and $(\mu \otimes \operatorname{id})(f \otimes Y) := \mu(f)Y$ for $f \in C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

In Section 5, we also introduce the noncommutative Poisson transform of a completely bounded linear map on $B(F^2(H_n))$ and show that it is a particular case of the Berezin transform of Section 2. In the particular case when μ is a bounded linear functional on $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$, the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}\mu : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$(\mathcal{P}\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) := (\mu \otimes \operatorname{id}) [P(R, X)], \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where the free pluriharmonic Poisson kernel is given by

$$P(R, X) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} R_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes X_{\alpha}^* + I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} R_{\alpha}^* \otimes X_{\alpha}$$

and the series are convergent in the operator norm topology.

We show that the map $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{P}\mu$ is a linear and one-to-one correspondence between the space of all completely positive linear maps on the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ and the space of all positive free pluriharmonic functions on the open noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients. In particular, any positive free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is the Poisson transform of a completely positive linear map on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$. Moreover, we show that a free pluriharmonic function $h : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is positive if and only if there exists an n -tuple of isometries $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, with orthogonal ranges, and a vector $\xi \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\omega_{\xi} \otimes \operatorname{id}) [B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n)^* B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n)],$$

where $B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is the noncommutative Berezin kernel defined in Section 2 and ω_{ξ} is the linear functional defined by $\omega_{\xi}(Y) := \langle Y\xi, \xi \rangle$.

In Section 6, we introduce the space $Har_{\mathbb{C}}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ of all free pluriharmonic functions h such that $\|h\|_1 := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\nu_{h,r}\| < \infty$, where $\{\nu_{h,r}\}$ are bounded linear functionals associated with the radial function $[0, 1) \ni r \mapsto h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \in \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$. We show that $(Har_{\mathbb{C}}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space that can be identified, through the noncommutative Poisson transform, with the dual of the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$. As a consequence, we characterize the self-adjoint free pluriharmonic functions u which admit a Jordan type decomposition $u = u^+ - u^-$, where u^+ , u^- are positive free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Another consequence of the above-mentioned result is that the space of free holomorphic functions

$$H_{\mathbb{C}}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) := Hol_{\mathbb{C}}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \cap Har_{\mathbb{C}}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$$

is a Banach space (with respect to $\|\cdot\|_1$) which can be identified with the annihilator of $\mathcal{R}_n$ in the dual of the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$.

In Section 7, we introduce a noncommutative Cayley transform which turns out to be a bijection between the set of all contractive free holomorphic functions f on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with $f(0) = 0$, and the set of all free holomorphic functions g with $g(0) = 0$ and

$$g(X_1, \dots, X_n)^* + I + g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

This result and its consequences concerning truncated Cayley transforms are used, in Section 8, to solve the Carathéodory interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. We show that given a sequence of complex numbers $\{b_{\alpha}\}_{|\alpha| \leq m}$ with $b_0 \geq 0$, there exists a sequence $\{b_{\alpha}\}_{|\alpha| \geq m+1} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \frac{b_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} b_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function with $\operatorname{Re} g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \bar{b}_{\alpha} (S_{\alpha}^{(m)})^* + b_0 I + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} b_{\alpha} S_{\alpha}^{(m)} \geq 0,$$

where $S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_m^{(m)}$ are the compressions of the left creation operators $S_1, \dots, S_n$ to the subspace $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ of all polynomials in $F^2(H_n)$ of degree $\leq m$. We also show that the condition above is equivalent to the existence of a positive linear map ν on $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ such that

$$\nu(S_{\alpha}) = \bar{b}_{\alpha} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \leq m,$$

i.e., ν solves the noncommutative trigonometric moment problem for the operator system $\mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n$, with data $\{\bar{b}_{\alpha}\}_{|\alpha| \leq m}$.

We also show that the Carathéodory interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is equivalent to the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem for multi-analytic operators [27] and to the Carathéodory interpolation problem for positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz kernels on free semigroups [31] (see [5], [6], [42], [41] for the classical results). This result together with [31] provide a parametrization of all solutions of the Carathéodory interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts, in terms of generalized Schur sequences.

Finally, we should mention that all the results of this paper are presented in the more general setting of free pluriharmonic functions with operator-valued coefficients.

1. MULTI-TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON FOCK SPACES AND THEIR FOURIER REPRESENTATIONS

There are three fundamental questions about multi-Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces and the associated Fourier series.

- (1) Is a multi-Toeplitz operator A determined by its Fourier series ?
- (2) If so, how can we recapture A , given the Fourier series ?
- (3) Given $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ and $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}}$, two sequences of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$, when is the formal series associated with them the formal Fourier representation of a multi-Toeplitz operator on $\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$?

We will answer these questions in this section. The results will play an important role in our investigation.

Let H_n be an n -dimensional complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$, where $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. We consider the full Fock space of H_n defined by

$$F^2(H_n) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_n^{\otimes k},$$

where $H_n^{\otimes 0} := \mathbb{C}1$ and $H_n^{\otimes k}$ is the (Hilbert) tensor product of k copies of H_n . Define the left (resp. right) creation operators S_i (resp. R_i), $i = 1, \dots, n$, acting on $F^2(H_n)$ by setting $S_i \varphi := e_i \otimes \varphi$, $\varphi \in F^2(H_n)$, (resp. $R_i \varphi := \varphi \otimes e_i$, $\varphi \in F^2(H_n)$.) The noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$) is the norm closed algebra generated by the left (resp. right) creation operators and the identity. The noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra F_n^∞ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$) is the weakly closed version of $\mathcal{A}_n$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_n$). These algebras were introduced in [25] in connection with a noncommutative von Neumann inequality (see [45] for the classical case). They have been studied in several papers [24], [26], [27], [28], [30], [12], [11], [32], [9], [21], and [35].

Let $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ be the unital free semigroup on n generators $g_1, \dots, g_n$, and the identity g_0 . We denote $e_\alpha := e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}$ and $e_{g_0} := 1$. Note that $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F^2(H_n)$. An operator $A \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ is called multi-Toeplitz with respect to the right creation operators $R_1, \dots, R_n$ if and only if

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) A (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_j) = \delta_{ij} A \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

When $n = 1$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}$ we find again the classical Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$. Define the formal Fourier representation of A by setting

$$\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha,$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle A_{(\alpha)} x, y \rangle &:= \langle A(x \otimes 1), y \otimes e_\alpha \rangle, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \\ \langle B_{(\alpha)} x, y \rangle &:= \langle A(x \otimes e_\alpha), y \otimes 1 \rangle, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. We also set $A_{(0)} := A_{(g_0)}$.

A few more notations are necessary. If $\omega, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we say that $\omega >_r \gamma$ if there is $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$ such that $\omega = \sigma \gamma$. In this case we set $\omega \setminus_r \gamma := \sigma$. Similarly, we say that $\omega >_l \gamma$ if there is $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$ such that $\omega = \gamma \sigma$ and set $\omega \setminus_l \gamma := \sigma$. We denote by $\tilde{\alpha}$ the reverse of $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, i.e., $\tilde{\alpha} = g_{i_k} \dots g_{i_1}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_k} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Notice that $\omega >_r \gamma$ if and only if $\tilde{\omega} >_l \tilde{\gamma}$. In this case we have $\widetilde{\omega \setminus_r \gamma} = \tilde{\omega} \setminus_l \tilde{\gamma}$.

Theorem 1.1. *If $A \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ is a multi-Toeplitz operator and $\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is its formal Fourier representation, then $Aq = \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q$ for any vector-valued polynomial $q = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} h_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha$, $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If A, B are multi-Toeplitz operators having the same formal Fourier representation, then $A = B$.*

Proof. Notice that, since $A(x \otimes 1) = A_{(0)}x \otimes 1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (A_{(\alpha)}x \otimes e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$, we deduce that the series $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)}$ is convergent in the weak operator topology (WOT). Similarly, since we have $A^*(x \otimes 1) = A_{(0)}^*x \otimes 1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (B_{(\alpha)}^*x \otimes e_\alpha) \in \mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$, we deduce that $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} B_{(\alpha)}^*$ is WOT convergent. This implies that

$$\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q := \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^*)q + (A_{(0)} \otimes I)q + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha)q$$

makes sense as a vector in the Hilbert space tensor product $\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$. Since A is a multi-Toeplitz operator, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle &= \langle (I \otimes R_{\tilde{\omega}}^*) A(I \otimes R_{\tilde{\gamma}})(x \otimes 1), y \otimes 1 \rangle = \begin{cases} \langle (I \otimes R_{\tilde{\omega} \setminus l \tilde{\gamma}}^*) A(x \otimes 1, y \otimes 1) \rangle; & \tilde{\omega} >_l \tilde{\gamma} \\ \langle A(x \otimes 1), y \otimes 1 \rangle; & \tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\gamma} \\ \langle A(I \otimes R_{\tilde{\gamma} \setminus l \tilde{\omega}})(x \otimes 1, y \otimes 1) \rangle; & \tilde{\gamma} >_l \tilde{\omega} \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle A(x \otimes 1), y \otimes e_{\omega \setminus r \gamma} \rangle; & \omega >_r \gamma \\ \langle A(x \otimes 1), y \otimes 1 \rangle; & \omega = \gamma \\ \langle A(x \otimes e_{\gamma \setminus r \omega}), y \otimes 1 \rangle; & \gamma >_r \omega \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \langle A_{(\omega \setminus r \gamma)} x, y \rangle; & \omega >_r \gamma \\ \langle A_{(0)} x, y \rangle; & \omega = \gamma \\ \langle B_{(\gamma \setminus r \omega)} x, y \rangle; & \gamma >_r \omega \\ 0; & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\gamma, \omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. On the other hand, since $S_j^* S_i = \delta_{ij} I$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ is an orthonormal basis for $F^2(H_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle &= \langle \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)(I \otimes S_\gamma)(x \otimes 1), (I \otimes S_\omega)(y \otimes 1) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle (I \otimes S_\omega^*) \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} (I \otimes S_\alpha^*) + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha \right) (I \otimes S_\gamma)(x \otimes 1), y \otimes 1 \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\omega^* S_\alpha^* S_\gamma)(x \otimes 1), y \otimes 1 \right\rangle + \langle A_{(0)} x, y \rangle \langle S_\omega^* S_\gamma 1, 1 \rangle + \left\langle \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} (A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\omega^* S_\alpha S_\gamma)(x \otimes 1), y \otimes 1 \right\rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \langle A_{(\omega \setminus r \gamma)} x, y \rangle; & \omega >_r \gamma \\ \langle A_{(0)} x, y \rangle; & \omega = \gamma \\ \langle B_{(\gamma \setminus r \omega)} x, y \rangle; & \gamma >_r \omega \\ 0; & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle A(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle = \langle \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\omega \rangle$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\gamma, \omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Hence, we deduce that $Aq = \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q$ for any vector-valued polynomial $q = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} h_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha$, $h_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The last part of the theorem follows now easily. The proof is complete. $\square$

It is easy to see that if A is a multi-Toeplitz operator, then $A = A^*$ if and only if $A_{(0)} = A_{(0)}^*$ and $B_{(\alpha)} = A_{(\alpha)}^*$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$.

An n -tuple $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$ of bounded linear operators acting on a common Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is called contractive (or row contraction) if

$$T_1 T_1^* + \dots + T_n T_n^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

The defect operators associated with T are

$$D_{T^*} := \left(I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n T_i T_i^* \right)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad D_T := \left([\delta_{ij} I_{\mathcal{H}} - T_i^* T_j]_{n \times n} \right)^{1/2} \in B(\mathcal{H}^{(n)}),$$

while the defect spaces of T are $\mathcal{D}_* = \mathcal{D}_{T^*} := \overline{D_{T^*} \mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_T := \overline{D_T \mathcal{H}^{(n)}}$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(n)} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{H}$ denotes the direct sum of n copies of $\mathcal{H}$. We say that an n -tuple $V := (V_1, \dots, V_n)$ of isometries on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{H}$ is a minimal isometric dilation of T if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $V_1 V_1^* + \dots + V_n V_n^* \leq I_{\mathcal{K}}$;
- (ii) $V_i^*|_{\mathcal{H}} = T_i^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$;
- (iii) $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} V_\alpha \mathcal{H}$.

The isometric dilation theorem for row contractions (see [4], [16], [23]) asserts that every row contraction T has a minimal isometric dilation V , which is uniquely determined up to an isomorphism. Let $\Delta_i : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ be defined by

$$\Delta_i h := 1 \otimes D_T(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, h, 0, \dots, 0) \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \dots$$

Consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H} \oplus (F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D})$ and embed $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ in the natural way. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, define the operator $V_i : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by

$$(1.2) \quad V_i(h \oplus (\xi \otimes d)) := T_i h \oplus [\Delta_i h + (S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}})(\xi \otimes d)]$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}, \xi \in F^2(H_n), d \in \mathcal{D}$, where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are the left creation operators on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. The n -tuple $V := (V_1, \dots, V_n)$, is a realization of the minimal isometric dilation of T . According to [23],

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_* := \overline{\left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{i=1}^n V_i T_i^* \right) \mathcal{H}}$$

is wandering subspace for V , i.e., $V_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_* \perp V_{\beta} \mathcal{L}_*$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Moreover, there is a unitary operator $\Phi_* : \mathcal{L}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_*$ defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi_* \left(I - \sum_{j=1}^n V_j T_j^* \right) h = D_{T^*} h, \quad h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We recall that $\mathcal{K} = M_V(\mathcal{L}_*) := \oplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} V_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_*$ if and only if T is a pure row contraction, i.e., $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|T_{\alpha}^* h\|^2 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ the spatial tensor product $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_n$, where $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the noncommutative disc algebra. The main result of this section is the following characterization of the multi-Toeplitz operators in terms of their Fourier representations.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ and $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}}$ be two sequences of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$. Then*

$$\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}$$

is the Fourier representation of a multi-Toeplitz operator $A \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ if and only if

- (i) $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} B_{(\alpha)}^*$ are WOT convergent series, and
- (ii) $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < \infty$.

Moreover, in this case,

- (a) for each $r \in [0, 1)$, the operator

$$\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}$$

is in the operator space $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$, where the series are convergent in the operator norm topology;

- (b) $A = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, and
- (c) $\|A\| = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = \sup_{q \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}, \|q\| \leq 1} \|\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q\|$.

Proof. Assume that $A \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ is a multi-Toeplitz and let

$$\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}$$

be its Fourier representation, where the coefficients are given by (1.1). Part (i) of this theorem follows from the proof of Theorem 1.1. To prove part (ii), notice first that the operator $\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$. Indeed, since $S_i^* S_j = \delta_{ij} I$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, one can easily see that

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha} \right\| = r^k \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2}$$

and a similar equality holds for the coefficients $B_{(\alpha)}$. Due to part (i), we deduce that the series above are convergent in the operator norm. This proves part (a).

Now, we prove that $\|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq \|A\|$ for $0 \leq r < 1$. Define the row contraction $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n)$, where $T_i = rS_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $V := (V_1, \dots, V_n)$ be the minimal isometric dilation of T on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{H} \oplus [F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}]$, where $\mathcal{H} := F^2(H_n)$. According to equation (1.2), we have

$$(1.5) \quad V_i = \begin{bmatrix} rS_i & 0 \\ \Delta_i & S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

with respect to the decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus [F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}]$. Since T is a pure row contraction, we must have $\mathcal{K} = M_V(\mathcal{L}_*)$, where $\mathcal{L}_*$ is the wandering subspace defined by relation (1.3). Due to Proposition 2.10 from [23], we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_{\alpha} T_{\alpha}^* h = 0$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. This implies

$$(1.6) \quad h = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_{\alpha} \left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - \sum_{i=1}^n V_i T_i^* \right) T_{\alpha}^* h$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}$. Define the unitary operator $U : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{D}_*$ by setting

$$(1.7) \quad U \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} V_{\alpha} \ell_{\alpha} \right) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} e_{\alpha} \otimes \Phi_*(\ell_{\alpha}),$$

where $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |\ell_{\alpha}|^2 < \infty$, $\ell_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}_*$, and Φ_* is defined by relation (1.4). Notice that

$$(1.8) \quad UV_i = (S_i \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})U, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now, we prove that

$$(1.9) \quad P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}} [(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U^*)(A \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)]|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}} = \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n), \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Since both sides are bounded operators, it is enough to prove the equality on a dense subset of $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$. Taking $h = e_{\beta}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, in relation (1.6), we obtain

$$e_{\beta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} V_{\alpha} \left(I_{\mathcal{K}} - r \sum_{i=1}^n V_i S_i^* \right) r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}^* e_{\beta}.$$

According to (1.7), the definition of Φ_* , and the fact that $S_{\alpha}^* e_{\beta} = 0$ if $|\alpha| > |\beta|$, we deduce that

$$U(e_{\beta}) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \\ |\alpha| \leq |\beta|}} e_{\alpha} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} D_{T^*} S_{\alpha}^* e_{\beta}.$$

Notice that, for any $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U^*)(A \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\beta} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle (A \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \\ |\alpha| \leq |\beta|}} e_{\alpha} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} D_{T^*} S_{\alpha}^* e_{\beta} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle (M_{|\gamma|, |\beta|}(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)(y \otimes e_{\beta}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{|\gamma|,|\beta|}(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \\ 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq |\beta|}} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \\ 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq |\beta|}} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha$. Now, using relations (1.8) and (1.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (M_{|\gamma|,|\beta|}(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)(x \otimes e_\gamma), (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)(y \otimes e_\beta) \rangle \\ &= \langle (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U^*)M_{|\gamma|,|\beta|}(S_1 \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*}, \dots, S_n \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\beta \rangle \\ &= \langle M_{|\gamma|,|\beta|}(V_1, \dots, V_n)(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\beta \rangle \\ &= \langle M_{|\gamma|,|\beta|}(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)(x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\beta \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha \right) (x \otimes e_\gamma), y \otimes e_\beta \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Therefore, relation (1.9) holds. Hence, we deduce that

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq \|A\|,$$

which proves part (ii). Now, we prove that

$$(1.11) \quad A = \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n).$$

First notice that, since $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} B_{(\alpha)}^*$ are WOT convergent, we have

$$(1.12) \quad \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)p - \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)p\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 1,$$

for any vector-valued polynomial $p \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$, where $\mathcal{P} \subset F^2(H_n)$ is the set of all polynomials in $e_1, \dots, e_n$. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $h \in \mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$, there exists a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ such that $\|h - p\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2\|A\|}$. Hence, and using the fact that $\|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq \|A\|$ for $0 \leq r < 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)h - Ah\| &\leq \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)(h - p)\| + \|(\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) - \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n))p\| + \|Ap - Ah\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \|h - p\| + \|(\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) - \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n))p\| + \|A\| \|h - p\| \\ &\leq 2\|A\| \|h - p\| + \|(\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) - \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n))p\| \\ &\leq \epsilon + \|(\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) - \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n))p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, due to (1.12), we obtain $\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)h - Ah\| \leq \epsilon$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. Hence, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)h - Ah\| = 0$, which implies relation (1.11) and, therefore, part (b) holds.

Conversely, assume that the coefficients $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$, $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{\mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}}$ satisfy the conditions (i) and (ii). Let us show that $\sup_{q \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}, \|q\|=1} \|\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q\| < \infty$. If this was not the case, then, for any $M > 0$, there would be a polynomial $q \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ with $\|q\| = 1$ such that $\|\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q\| > M$. Since $\|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)q - \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q\| \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, there is $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|\varphi(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)q\| > M$. Hence $\|\varphi(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)\| \geq \|\varphi(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)q\| > M$, which contradicts (ii). Consequently,

$\sup_{q \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}, \|q\|=1} \|\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q\| < \infty$, and, therefore, there is a unique operator $A \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ such

that $Aq = \varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q$ for any polynomial $q \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}$. As in the the proof of part (b), one can show that $A = \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Hence and using that $\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is a multi-Toeplitz operator, i.e.,

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_j) = \delta_{ij}\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n,$$

we deduce that A is also a multi-Toeplitz operator, which completes the proof of the converse.

Now, we prove part (c) of the theorem. If $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a polynomial $q \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ with $\|q\| = 1$ such that $\|Aq\| = \|\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)q\| > \|A\| - \epsilon$. Since $A = \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, there exists $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\|\varphi(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)q\| > \|A\| - \epsilon$. Using now relation (1.10), we deduce that

$$(1.13) \quad \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = \|A\|.$$

Now, let $r_1, r_2 \in [0, 1]$ with $r_1 < r_2$. Since the operator $g(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \varphi(r_2 S_1, \dots, r_2 S_n)$ is in the operator system $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$, the noncommutative von Neumann inequality [25] (see [45] for the classical case) implies $\|g(r_1 S_1, \dots, r_1 S_n)\| \leq \|g(S_1, \dots, S_n)\|$ for any $0 \leq r < 1$. In particular, when $r := \frac{r_1}{r_2}$, we deduce that $\|\varphi(r_1 S_1, \dots, r_1 S_n)\| \leq \|\varphi(r_2 S_1, \dots, r_2 S_n)\|$. Consequently, the function $[0, 1] \ni r \rightarrow \|\varphi(r S_1, \dots, r S_n)\| \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is increasing. Hence, and using relation (1.13), we complete the proof. $\square$

Corollary 1.3. *The set of all multi-Toeplitz operators on $\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ coincides with*

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{WOT} = \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{SOT},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E}) := B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the noncommutative disc algebra.

Proof. If A is a multi-Toeplitz operator and $\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is its Fourier representation, then, according to Theorem 1.2, $\varphi(r S_1, \dots, r S_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ for any $r \in [0, 1]$ and $A = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r S_1, \dots, r S_n)$. Therefore, A is in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{SOT}$. Conversely, since any operator $X \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ satisfies the equation $(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)X(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_j) = \delta_{ij}X$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, so does any operator $T \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{SOT}$. Therefore, T is a multi-Toeplitz operator. If $T \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{WOT}$, an argument as above shows that T is a multi-Toeplitz operator and, due to the first part of the proof, we deduce that $T \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{SOT}$. Since the other inclusion is clear, the proof is complete. $\square$

We remark that all the results of this section have appropriate versions for the multi-Toeplitz operators with respect to the left creation operators on the full Fock space.

2. NONCOMMUTATIVE BEREZIN TRANSFORMS AND FREE PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

We introduce noncommutative Berezin transforms associated with (completely) bounded linear maps on $B(F^2(H_n))$, which will play an important role in the study of free pluriharmonic functions and their boundary behavior. First, we present some of their properties and connections to the classical case [2] and the noncommutative Poisson transform [30]. Then we work out some basic properties of the free pluriharmonic functions on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, including a Poisson mean value property, Weierstrass type convergence theorem, Harnack type inequality (resp. convergence theorem), and a maximum (resp. minimum) principle. The free holomorphic functional calculus for n -tuples of operators [35] is extended to free pluriharmonic function.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space and identify $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$, the set of $m \times m$ matrices with entries from $B(\mathcal{H})$, with $B(\mathcal{H}^{(m)})$, where $\mathcal{H}^{(m)}$ is the direct sum of m copies of $\mathcal{H}$. Thus we have a natural C^* -norm on $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is an operator space, i.e., a closed subspace of $B(\mathcal{H})$, we consider $M_m(\mathcal{X})$ as a subspace of $M_m(B(\mathcal{H}))$ with the induced norm. Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be operator spaces and $u : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a linear map. Define the map $u_m : M_m(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow M_m(\mathcal{Y})$ by $u_m([x_{ij}]) := [u(x_{ij})]$. We say that u is completely bounded if $\|u\|_{cb} := \sup_{m \geq 1} \|u_m\| < \infty$. If $\|u\|_{cb} \leq 1$ (resp. u_m is an isometry for any $m \geq 1$) then u is completely contractive (resp. isometric), and if u_m is positive for all m , then u is called completely positive. For basic results concerning completely bounded maps and operator spaces we refer to [20], [22], and [13].

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a Hilbert space and let $\mu : B(F^2(H_n)) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ be a completely bounded map. It is well-known (see e.g. [20]) that there exists a completely bounded linear map

$$\tilde{\mu} := \mu \otimes \text{id} : B(F^2(H_n)) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $\tilde{\mu}(f \otimes Y) := \mu(f) \otimes Y$ for $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{cb} = \|\mu\|_{cb}$ and, if μ is completely positive, then so is $\tilde{\mu}$. We introduce a *noncommutative Berezin transform* associated with μ as the map

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu : B(F^2(H_n)) \times [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_\mu(f, X) := \tilde{\mu}[B_X^*(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})B_X], \quad f \in B(F^2(H_n)), \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where the operator $B_X \in B(F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H})$ is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad B_X := (I_{F^2(H_n)} \otimes \Delta_X)(I - R_1 \otimes X_1^* - \cdots - R_n \otimes X_n^*)^{-1}$$

and $\Delta_X := (I_{\mathcal{H}} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^*)^{1/2}$. We remark that the *reconstruction operator*

$$R_X := R_1 \otimes X_1^* + \cdots + R_n \otimes X_n^*$$

has played an important role in noncommutative multivariable operator theory (see [37], [35]). Note that, due to the fact that $R_1, \dots, R_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, we have $\|R_X\| = \|X\|$ and, therefore, the operator B_X is well-defined. We also remark that the noncommutative Berezin transform is well-defined even if the n -tuple $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ has joint spectral radius $r(X_1, \dots, X_n) < 1$. We recall that the joint spectral radius is defined by

$$r(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \right\|^{\frac{1}{2k}}$$

and it is also equal to the spectral radius of the reconstruction operator R_X (see [37]). Consequently, $r(T_1, \dots, T_n) < 1$ if and only if the spectrum of R_X is included in $\mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{B}_\mu$ be the noncommutative Berezin transform associated with a completely bounded linear map $\mu : B(F^2(H_n)) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$.*

(i) *If $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is fixed, then*

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu(\cdot, X) : B(F^2(H_n)) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

is a completely bounded linear map with $\|\mathcal{B}_\mu(\cdot, X)\|_{cb} \leq \|\mu\|_{cb} \|B_X\|^2$.

(ii) *If μ is selfadjoint, then $\mathcal{B}_\mu(f^*, X) = \mathcal{B}_\mu(f, X)^*$. Moreover, if μ is completely positive, then so is the map $\mathcal{B}_\mu(\cdot, X)$.*

(iii) *If $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$ is fixed, then the map*

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu(f, \cdot) : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

is continuous and $\|\mathcal{B}_\mu(f, X)\| \leq \|\mu\|_{cb} \|f\| \|B_X\|^2$ for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Proof. The items (i) and (ii) follow easily from the definition of the noncommutative Berezin transform. To prove part (iii), let $X, Y \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}_\mu(f, X) - \mathcal{B}_\mu(f, Y)\| &\leq \|\mu\| \|B_X^*(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(B_X - B_Y)\| + \|\mu\| \|(B_X^* - B_Y^*)(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})B_Y\| \\ &\leq \|\mu\| \|f\| \|B_X - B_Y\| (\|B_X\| + \|B_Y\|). \end{aligned}$$

The continuity of the map $X \mapsto \mathcal{B}_\mu(f, X)$ will follow once we prove that $X \mapsto B_X$ is a continuous map on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. To this end, notice that

$$(2.3) \quad \|B_X - B_Y\| \leq \|\Delta_X\| \|(I - R_X)^{-1} - (I - R_Y)^{-1}\| + \|\Delta_X - \Delta_Y\| \|(I - R_X)^{-1}\|.$$

Since $\|R_X - R_Y\| = \|X - Y\|$, the map $X \mapsto R_X$ is continuous on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Taking into account that $\|R_X\| < 1$ for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we deduce that $X \mapsto (I - R_X)^{-1}$ is also a continuous map on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Due to (2.3), it remains to show that the function $X \mapsto \Delta_X$ is continuous on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. By Weierstrass approximation theorem, for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a polynomial p of λ such that $\sup_{\lambda \in [0, 1]} |p(\lambda) - \sqrt{\lambda}| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Due to the representation theorem for normal operators, we have

$$(2.4) \quad \|p(\Delta_X^2) - \Delta_X\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|p(\Delta_Y^2) - \Delta_Y\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}.$$

Note also that

$$\|\Delta_X^2 - \Delta_Y^2\| \leq \|X - Y\|(\|X\| + \|Y\|) \leq 2\|X - Y\|.$$

Consequently, since p is a polynomial, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|p(\Delta_X^2) - p(\Delta_Y^2)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ if $\|X - Y\| < \delta$ and $X, Y \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Now, using relation (2.4), we deduce that

$$\|\Delta_X - \Delta_Y\| \leq \|\Delta_X - p(\Delta_X^2)\| + \|p(\Delta_X^2) - p(\Delta_Y^2)\| + \|p(\Delta_Y^2) - \Delta_Y\| \leq \epsilon$$

if $\|X - Y\| < \delta$, which proves the continuity of the map $X \mapsto \Delta_X$. Therefore, the map $X \mapsto \mathcal{B}_\mu(f, X)$ is continuous on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. The inequality in (iii) is obvious. The proof is complete. $\square$

In what follows we present two particular cases of the noncommutative Berezin transform which will play an important role in this paper.

The Berezin transform $B_\mu(I, \cdot)$.

If $f = I$, the identity on $F^2(H_n)$, then the Berezin transform $B_\mu(I, \cdot)$ coincides with the noncommutative Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ associated with μ , which will be discussed in Section 5. We will show that, for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$,

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu(I, X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \mu(R_{\bar{\alpha}}) \otimes X_\alpha^* + \mu(I) \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \mu(R_\alpha^*) \otimes X_\alpha,$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology of $B(\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H})$. Consider the particular case when $n = 1$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}$, $X = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, and μ is a complex Borel measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Since μ can be seen as a bounded linear functional on $C(\mathbb{T})$, there is a unique bounded linear functional $\hat{\mu}$ on the operator system $A(\mathbb{D})^* + A(\mathbb{D})$ (here $A(\mathbb{D})$ is the disc algebra generated by the unilateral shift S acting on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$) such that $\hat{\mu}(S^k) = \mu(e^{ikt})$ if $k \geq 0$, and $\hat{\mu}(S^{*k}) = \mu(e^{-ikt})$ if $k \geq 1$. Indeed, if p is any polynomial of the form $p(\bar{\lambda}, \lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^q b_k \bar{\lambda}^k + \sum_{k=0}^r a_k \lambda^k$, then, using the noncommutative von Neumann inequality (when $n = 1$), we obtain

$$|\hat{\mu}(p(S^*, S))| = |\mu(p(e^{-it}, e^{it}))| \leq \|\mu\| \sup_{e^{it} \in \mathbb{T}} |p(e^{-it}, e^{it})| \leq \|\mu\| \|p(S^*, S)\|,$$

which proves our assertion. Now, it is easy to see that the noncommutative Berezin transform $B_{\hat{\mu}}(I, \cdot)$ coincide with the classical Poisson transform of μ , i.e., $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} P_r(\theta - t) d\mu(t)$, where $P_r(\theta - t) = \frac{1-r^2}{1-2r \cos(\theta - t) + r^2}$ is the Poisson kernel.

Throughout this paper, the Berezin transform $B_\mu(I, \cdot)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}_\mu$ and called the (noncommutative) Poisson transform of μ .

Next, we show that the noncommutative Poisson transform introduced in [30] is in fact a particular case of the noncommutative Berezin transform.

The Berezin transform B_τ .

Let τ be the linear functional on $B(F^2(H_n))$ defined by $\tau(f) := \langle f(1), 1 \rangle$. If $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is fixed, then $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\cdot, X) : B(F^2(H_n)) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a completely contractive linear map and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_\tau(f, X)x, y \rangle = \langle B_X^*(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})B_X(1 \otimes x), 1 \otimes y \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We remark that $\mathcal{B}_\tau(\cdot, X)$ coincides with the noncommutative Poisson transform P_X introduced in [30]. More precisely, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_\tau(f, X) = P_X(f) := K_X^*(f \otimes I)K_X,$$

where $K_X = B_X|_{1 \otimes \mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$. We recall from [30] that the restriction of P_X to the Cuntz-Toeplitz C^* -algebra $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ (see [8]) can be extended to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ by setting

$$(2.5) \quad P_X(f) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} K_{rX}^*(f \otimes I)K_{rX}, \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-, \quad f \in C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n),$$

where $rX := (rX_1, \dots, rX_n)$ and the limit exists in the operator norm topology of $B(\mathcal{H})$. In this case we have

$$(2.6) \quad P_X(S_\alpha S_\beta^*) = X_\alpha X_\beta^* \quad \text{for any } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

When $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a pure n -tuple, i.e., $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, in the strong operator topology, then we have $P_X(f) = K_X^*(f \otimes I)K_X$. In particular, if $X = 0$, then $P_0(f) = \langle f(1), 1 \rangle I_{\mathcal{H}}$. We refer to [30], [32], and [37] for more on noncommutative Poisson transforms on C^* -algebras generated by isometries.

If $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$ is fixed, then $\mathcal{B}_\tau(f, \cdot) : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ is a bounded continuous map and $\|\mathcal{B}_\tau(f, X)\| \leq \|f\|$ for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. If $n = 1$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}$, $X = \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, we recover the Berezin transform of a bounded linear operator on the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D})$, i.e.,

$$B_\tau(f, \lambda) = (1 - |\lambda|^2) \langle f k_\lambda, k_\lambda \rangle, \quad f \in B(H^2(\mathbb{D})),$$

where $k_\lambda(z) := (1 - \bar{\lambda}z)^{-1}$ and $z, \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.

Throughout this paper, the Berezin transform B_τ will be called Poisson transform, to be in accord with the terminology used in our previous papers. If $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$ and $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, then the Poisson transform of f at X is given by

$$P_X[f] := B_\tau(f, X) = K_X^*(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_X.$$

This induces a completely contractive linear map

$$\text{id} \otimes_{\min} P_X : B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(F^2(H_n)) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $(\text{id} \otimes_{\min} P_X)(Y \otimes f) = Y \otimes P_X[f]$ for any $Y \in B(\mathcal{E})$ and $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert space. It is easy to see that

$$(\text{id} \otimes_{\min} P_X)(u) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)(u \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X)$$

for any $u \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(F^2(H_n))$. Given $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})]_1$, we define the operator-valued Poisson transform at X to be the map $\mathbf{P}_X : B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{P}_X[u] := (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)(u \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X)$$

for any $u \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$. It is clear that $\mathbf{P}_X$ is an extension of the map $\text{id} \otimes_{\min} P_X$. In the particular case when $\mathcal{E}$ is finite dimensional, they coincide.

Now, we need to recall from [35] a few facts concerning free holomorphic functions on noncommutative balls. Let $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ be a sequence of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ and define $R \in [0, \infty]$ by setting

$$\frac{1}{R} := \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{\frac{1}{2k}}.$$

The number R is called *radius of convergence* of the formal power series $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}$ in noncommuting indeterminates $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$, where $Z_{\alpha} := Z_{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_k}$ if $\alpha = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$ and $Z_{g_0} := I$. Define the open noncommutative ball of radius $\gamma > 0$,

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma} := \left\{ (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i X_i^* \right\|^{1/2} < \gamma \right\}.$$

A map $F : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *free holomorphic function* on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ if there exist $A_{(\alpha)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, such that the formal power series $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}$ has radius of convergence $\geq \gamma$ and such that $F(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$, where the series converges in the operator norm topology for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$. We recall ([35]) that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$ is convergent in the operator norm for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{K})^n]_{\gamma}$ and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$;
- (ii) $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2k} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}$;
- (iii) the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}$ is convergent in the operator norm for any $r \in [0, \gamma)$.

The set of all free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ is denoted by $Hol(B(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}^n)$. If the coefficients are scalars, we use the notation $Hol_{\mathbb{C}}(B(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}^n)$. We say that G is a self-adjoint *free pluriharmonic function* on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ if there exists a free holomorphic function F on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ such that $G = \text{Re } F$, i.e.,

$$G(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \text{Re } F(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \frac{1}{2}(F(X_1, \dots, X_n) + F(X_1, \dots, X_n)^*)$$

for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$. H is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ if $H := H_1 + iH_2$, where H_1 and H_2 are self-adjoint free harmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$. According to [35], any free holomorphic on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ is continuous and uniformly continuous on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$, $0 \leq r < \gamma$. This implies similar

properties for free pluriharmonic functions. We remark that in the particular case when $n = 1$, a function is free pluriharmonic on $[B(\mathcal{H})]_1$ if and only if it is harmonic on the open unit disc $\mathbb{D}$. Let $Har(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n)$ be the set of all free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n]$ with operator-valued coefficients. When the coefficients are scalars, we use the notation $Har_{\mathbb{C}}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n)$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of the above-mentioned properties.

Proposition 2.2. *A map $G : [B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n]_\gamma \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n]_\gamma$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ if and only if there exist two sequences $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ and $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ such that*

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2k} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} B_{(\alpha)}^* \right\|^{1/2k} \leq \frac{1}{\gamma},$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad G(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha,$$

where the series are convergent in the operator norm topology for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n]_\gamma$.

We remark that two sequences of operators $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ and $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}}$ in $B(\mathcal{E})$ generate, by relation (2.8), a free pluriharmonic function $G : [B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n]_\gamma \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha^*$ are convergent in the operator norm topology for any $r \in [0, \gamma)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, then it is enough to assume the convergence in the operator norm of the series in (2.8). Notice also that a free pluriharmonic function is uniquely determined by its representation on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, in particular, on the full Fock space $F^2(H_n)$.

Lemma 2.3. *If $\gamma_1 > 0$ and $0 \leq \gamma_j \leq 1$ for $j = 2, \dots, k$, then*

$$P_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k X} = P_{\gamma_1 X} \circ P_{\gamma_2 S} \circ \dots \circ P_{\gamma_k S}$$

for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n]_{\frac{1}{\gamma_1}}$, where $S := (S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is the n -tuple of left creation operators on the Fock space $F^2(H_n)$. Moreover,

$$\mathbf{P}_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_k X}[g] = (\mathbf{P}_{\gamma_1 X} \circ \mathbf{P}_{\gamma_2 S} \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{P}_{\gamma_k S})[g]$$

for any $g \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(F^2(H_n))$, where $\mathbf{P}_Y$ is defined by (2.7).

Proof. We recall that the Poisson transform of $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$ at $Y \in [B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n]_1$ is given by

$$P_Y[f] := B_\tau(f, Y) = K_Y^*(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_Y.$$

Now, we prove the result for $k = 2$. First, we show that

$$(2.9) \quad P_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 X}[g] = (P_{\gamma_1 X} \circ P_{\gamma_2 S})[g]$$

for any $g \in C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$. Let $p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum a_{\alpha, \beta}^{(m)} S_\alpha S_\beta^*$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence of polynomials in $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ such that $p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow g$ in the operator norm, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Due to the properties of the Poisson transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\gamma_1 X} \{P_{\gamma_2 S}[p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)]\} &= K_{\gamma_1 X}^* \{ [K_{\gamma_2 S}^* (p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}) K_{\gamma_2 S}] \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}} \} K_{\gamma_1 X} \\ &= K_{\gamma_1 X}^* [p_m(\gamma_2 S_1, \dots, \gamma_2 S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}] K_{\gamma_1 X} = p_m(\gamma_1 \gamma_2 X_1, \dots, \gamma_1 \gamma_2 X_n) \\ &= K_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 X}^* (p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 X} = P_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 X}[p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Poisson transform is continuous in the operator norm topology, we deduce relation (2.9). Recall that $C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ contains the compact operators in $B(F^2(H_n))$ (see [8]) and any finite rank operator is compact. Therefore, $Q_{\leq m} f \in C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ for any $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$, where $Q_{\leq m} := I - \sum_{|\alpha|=m+1} S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ onto the set of all polynomials of degree $\leq m$. Due to the first part of the proof, we have $P_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 X}[Q_{\leq m} f] = (P_{\gamma_1 X} \circ P_{\gamma_2 S})(Q_{\leq m} f)$. Notice also that $\|P_{\gamma_2 S}[Q_{\leq m} f]\| \leq$

$\|Q_{\leq m}f\| \leq \|f\|$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\text{SOT-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} Q_{\leq m}f = f$. Since the map $A \mapsto A \otimes I$ is SOT-continuous on bounded subsets of $B(F^2(H_n))$, the above equality implies $P_{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 X}[f] = (P_{\gamma_1 X} \circ P_{\gamma_2 S})[f]$ for any $f \in B(F^2(H_n))$. The general result follows easily by iteration. Now, the second equality can be easily deduced. This completes the proof. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the set of all polynomials in $S_1, \dots, S_n$ and the identity, and denote by $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$ the spatial tensor product $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{P}_n$. The next result shows that a free pluriharmonic function is uniquely determined by the Poisson mean value property and the radial function.

Theorem 2.4. *If $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ is a free pluriharmonic function, then*

- (i) $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ for any $r \in [0, \gamma)$, and
- (ii) u has the Poisson mean value property, i.e., $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]$ for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, \gamma)$.

Conversely, if there exists a map $\varphi : [0, \gamma) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad \varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{\gamma}S}[\varphi(t)]$$

for $0 \leq r < t < \gamma$, then the map $v : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(2.11) \quad v(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)]$$

for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, \gamma)$, is a free pluriharmonic function. Moreover, $v(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \varphi(r)$ for any $r \in [0, \gamma)$.

Proof. Assume that u is a free pluriharmonic function and has the representation

$$u(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes Y_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Y_{\alpha}$$

for any $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$. Since the series above are convergent in the operator norm topology, one can easily see that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ for any $r \in [0, \gamma)$. Denote $q_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{0 < |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}^* + \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and notice that relation (2.6) implies $q_m(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}Y}[q_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]$ for any $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, \gamma)$. Taking into account that the Poisson transform is completely contractive, that $q_m(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \rightarrow u(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ and $q_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \rightarrow u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we deduce item (ii).

Conversely, assume that the map φ has the properties stated in the theorem and fix $r \in (0, \gamma)$. Due to Corollary 1.3, $\varphi(r)$ is a multi-Toeplitz operator. By Theorem 1.1, $\varphi(r)$ has a unique Fourier representation

$$\sum_{|\alpha| > 0} B_{(\alpha)}(r) \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)}(r) \otimes I + \sum_{|\alpha| > 0} A_{(\alpha)}(r) \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha},$$

where $\{A_{(\alpha)}(r)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ and $\{B_{(\alpha)}(r)\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}}$ are some sequences of operators in $B(\mathcal{E})$. Applying Theorem 1.2, we deduce that the map $h : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(2.12) \quad h(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)}(r) \otimes r^{|\alpha|} Z_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)}(r) \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}(r) \otimes r^{|\alpha|} Z_{\alpha}$$

is a free pluriharmonic function, where the series are convergent in the operator norm topology. Choose a sequence of polynomials $\{p_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$, such that $\|p_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) - \varphi(r)\| \rightarrow 0$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Applying again Theorem 1.2 to the multi-Toeplitz operator $A := \varphi(r) - p_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, we deduce that

$$(2.13) \quad \|h(tS_1, \dots, tS_n) - p_m(rtS_1, \dots, rtS_n)\| \leq \|\varphi(r) - p_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|$$

for any $t \in [0, 1)$. If $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$, there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{t_0 r} Y \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Due to the noncommutative von Neumann inequality, we have

$$\left\| h\left(\frac{1}{r}Y_1, \dots, \frac{1}{r}Y_n\right) - p_m(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \right\| \leq \|h(t_0 S_1, \dots, t_0 S_n) - p_m(t_0 r S_1, \dots, t_0 r S_n)\|.$$

Hence and using (2.13), we obtain

$$\left\| h\left(\frac{1}{r}Y_1, \dots, \frac{1}{r}Y_n\right) - p_m(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \right\| \leq \|\varphi(r) - p_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|$$

for any $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$. This implies that $p_m(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ converges in the norm topology to $h\left(\frac{1}{r}Y_1, \dots, \frac{1}{r}Y_n\right)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since $p_m(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}Y}[p_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]$ and taking the limit in the operator norm, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $h\left(\frac{1}{r}Y_1, \dots, \frac{1}{r}Y_n\right) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}Y}[\varphi(r)]$ for any $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$. Hence, and using relation (2.12), we deduce that

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}Y}[\varphi(r)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)}(r) \otimes Y_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)}(r) \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}(r) \otimes Y_{\alpha}$$

for any $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$. If $r < t < \gamma$, then, as above, one can show that

$$(2.15) \quad \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}Z}[\varphi(t)] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)}(t) \otimes Z_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)}(t) \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}(t) \otimes Z_{\alpha}$$

for any $(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_t$. On the other hand, due to relation (2.10), Lemma 2.3, and the fact that $\varphi(r)$ and $\varphi(t)$ are in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(F^2(H_n))$, we deduce that

$$\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}Y}[\varphi(r)] = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}Y}(\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}S}[\varphi(t)]) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}Y}[\varphi(t)]$$

for $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$. Hence, using relations (2.14), (2.15), and the uniqueness of free pluriharmonic functions, we deduce that $B_{(\alpha)}(r) = B_{(\alpha)}(t)$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$, and $A_{(\alpha)}(r) = A_{(\alpha)}(t)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Therefore, the coefficients do not depend on $r \in (0, \gamma)$, so we may set $A_{(\alpha)} := A_{(\alpha)}(r)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $B_{(\alpha)} := B_{(\alpha)}(r)$, $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$. Now, it is clear that the map $v : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ given by (2.11) is well-defined and

$$v(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$$

for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$, where the series are convergent in the norm operator topology. Therefore, v is a free pluriharmonic function. Due to Theorem 1.2, $\varphi(r) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} h(tS_1, \dots, tS_n)$. Since v is continuous on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} h(tS_1, \dots, tS_n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} v(rtS_1, \dots, rtS_n) = v(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Consequently, we have $\varphi(r) = v(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we obtain a Weierstrass type convergence theorem [7] for the vector space $\text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}^n)$, $\gamma > 0$, of all free pluriharmonic functions on the open unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$. This enables us to introduce a metric on $\text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}^n)$ with respect to which it becomes a complete metric space.

Given $r \in [0, \gamma)$, denote by $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-$ the noncommutative closed ball

$$[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^- := \{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n : \|X_1X_1^* + \dots + X_nX_n^*\|^{1/2} \leq r\}.$$

Assume now that $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and recall that a free pluriharmonic function is uniquely determined by its representation $\mathcal{H}$.

Here is our version of Weierstrass theorem for free pluriharmonic functions.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}^n)$, $\gamma > 0$, be a sequence of free pluriharmonic functions which is uniformly convergent on any closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-$, $r \in [0, \gamma)$. Then there is a free pluriharmonic function $u \in \text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}^n)$ such that u_m converges to u on any closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional and due to the noncommutative von Neumann inequality, one can see that a sequence $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_{\gamma}^n)$ of free pluriharmonic functions converges uniformly on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-$ if and only if the sequence $\{u_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent in the operator norm topology of $B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ and $\varphi(r) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $\overline{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$. Since u_m is free pluriharmonic and using the properties

of the Poisson transform, we have $u_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[u_m(tS_1, \dots, tS_n)]$ for $0 \leq r < t < \gamma$. Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)]$. On the other hand, due to Theorem 2.4, we have

$$(2.16) \quad u_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[u_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]$$

for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, \gamma)$. Since u_m converges uniformly on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-$, there exists $v(X) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m(X)$. Now, relation (2.16) implies $v(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)]$ for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, \gamma)$. Applying again Theorem 2.4, we deduce that v is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$. The proof is complete. $\square$

Let $C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}))$ be the vector space of all continuous functions from the open noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$ to $B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})$. If $f, g \in C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}))$ and $0 < r < \gamma$, we define

$$\rho_r(f, g) := \sup_{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-} \|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - g(X_1, \dots, X_n)\|.$$

Let $0 < r_m < \gamma$ be such that $\{r_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is an increasing sequence convergent to γ . For any functions $f, g \in C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}))$, we define

$$\rho(f, g) := \sum_{m=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^m \frac{\rho_{r_m}(f, g)}{1 + \rho_{r_m}(f, g)}.$$

As in [35], in the particular case when $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}$, one can prove that if $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and f are functions in $C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}))$, then f_k is convergent to f in the metric ρ if and only if $f_k \rightarrow f$ uniformly on any closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{r_m}^-$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Moreover, one can show that $(C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})), \rho)$ is a complete metric space.

Theorem 2.6. *$(\text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n), \rho)$ is a complete metric space.*

Proof. Since $\text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n) \subset C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}))$ and $(C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})), \rho)$ is a complete metric space, it is enough to show that $(\text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n), \rho)$ is closed in $(C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})), \rho)$. Let $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n)$ and $u \in C(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}))$ be such that $\rho(u_k, u) \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $u_k \rightarrow u$ uniformly on any closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{r_m}^-$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Applying now Theorem 2.5, we deduce that $u \in \text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

We say that a free pluriharmonic function u is positive if any representation on a Hilbert space is positive, i.e., $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{K})^n]_\gamma$ and any Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. Our next result is a Harnack type inequality for free pluriharmonic functions.

Theorem 2.7. *If u is a positive free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$ with operator-valued coefficients and $0 < r < \gamma$, then*

$$\|u(X_1, \dots, X_n)\| \leq \|u(0)\| \frac{\gamma + r}{\gamma - r} \quad \text{for any } (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-.$$

Proof. Any self-adjoint free pluriharmonic function on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$ has a representation

$$u(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* \otimes Y_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Y_\alpha$$

for $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_\gamma$. Due to Theorem 2.4, if $0 < r < \gamma$ and $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$, then

$$(2.17) \quad u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)].$$

Notice that the map $h : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $h(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) := u(\gamma Z_1, \dots, \gamma Z_n)$ is a positive free pluriharmonic function. Due to Theorem 3.1 from [36] (see also Lemma 8.1), we have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{\|A_{(0)}\|}{\gamma^k} \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using this inequality and relation (2.17), we deduce that, for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(X_1, \dots, X_n)\| &\leq \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq \|A_{(0)}\| + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha} \right\| \\ &= A_{(0)} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^k \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq \|A_{(0)}\| + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^k \frac{\|A_{(0)}\|}{\gamma^k} \\ &= \|A_{(0)}\| \left(1 + \frac{2r}{1-r} \right) = \|A_{(0)}\| \frac{\gamma+r}{\gamma-r}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Now, we can obtain a Harnack type convergence theorem for free pluriharmonic functions.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ with operator-valued coefficients such that $\{u_m(0)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a convergent sequence in the operator norm and*

$$u_1 \leq u_2 \leq \dots$$

Then u_m converges to a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$.

Proof. We may assume that $u_1 \geq 0$ (if not, consider the sequence $\{u_m - u_1\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$). If $m > k$, then, applying the Harnack type inequality of Theorem 2.7 to the positive free pluriharmonic function $u_m - u_k$, we obtain

$$\|u_m(X) - u_k(X)\| \leq \|u_m(0) - u_k(0)\| \frac{\gamma+r}{\gamma-r}$$

for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-$. Since $\{u_m(0)\}$ is convergent in the operator norm, we deduce that $\{u_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a uniformly Cauchy sequence on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r^-$. Applying Theorem 2.5, we deduce that u_m converges to a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We remark that if $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{C}$ in Theorem 2.8, then it is enough to assume that the sequence $\{u_m(0)\}$ is bounded.

The following result can be seen as a maximum (resp. minimum) principle for free pluriharmonic functions.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ be a self-adjoint free pluriharmonic function with operator-valued coefficients satisfying either one of the following conditions:*

- (i) $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) \leq u(0)$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$;
- (ii) $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq u(0)$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$;
- (iii) $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \leq u(tS_1, \dots, tS_n)$ for some $r, t \in [0, \gamma]$, $r \neq t$.

Then $u = u(0)$.

Proof. Assume that condition (i) holds. Since $v := u - u(0)$ is a positive free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$, we can apply Theorem 2.7 and deduce that $v = 0$. If (ii) holds, the proof is similar.

Finally, if we assume that (iii) holds, then $w(X_1, \dots, X_n) := u(tX_1, \dots, tX_n) - u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n)$ is a positive free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with $w(0) = 0$. Applying again Theorem 2.7, we deduce that $w(X_1, \dots, X_n) = 0$ for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Hence $w(\gamma S_1, \dots, \gamma S_n) = 0$ for $0 < \gamma < 1$, which implies $u = u(0)$. $\square$

In [35], we developed a *free holomorphic functional calculus*. Using those ideas, we can similarly prove that if

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_{\alpha}$$

is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_{\gamma}$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ and $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is any n -tuple of operators with joint spectral radius $r(T_1, \dots, T_n) < \gamma$, then $f(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ is a bounded linear

operator, where the corresponding series converge in norm. This provides a *free pluriharmonic functional calculus*, which turns out to be continuous as a map from the complete metric space $(Har(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n), \rho)$ to $B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ with the operator norm topology. Since the proof of the next result is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.8 from [35], we shall omit it. We denote by $Har_{\mathbb{C}}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n)$ the set of all free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with scalar coefficients.

Theorem 2.10. *If $T := (T_1, \dots, T_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is any n -tuple of operators with joint spectral radius $r(T_1, \dots, T_n) < \gamma$ then the mapping $\Phi_T : Har_{\mathbb{C}}(B(\mathcal{H})_\gamma^n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by*

$$\Phi_T(u) := u(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

is a continuous linear map such that $\Phi_T(X_\alpha) = T_\alpha$ and $\Phi_T(X_\alpha^) = T_\alpha^*$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Moreover, Φ_T is uniquely determined by these conditions.*

3. BOUNDED FREE PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

In this section we characterize the set of all bounded free pluriharmonic functions on the noncommutative unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and obtain a Fatou type result concerning their boundary behavior.

A function $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ is called bounded if

$$\|u\| := \sup_{(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1} \|u(X_1, \dots, X_n)\| < \infty.$$

We say that a free pluriharmonic function is bounded if its representation on any Hilbert space is bounded. As we will see in the next result, it is enough to assume that the Hilbert space is separable and infinite dimensional.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and denote by $Har^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ the set of all bounded free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$. For each $m = 1, 2, \dots$, we define the norms $\|\cdot\|_m : M_m(Har^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by setting

$$\|[u_{ij}]_m\|_m := \sup \| [u_{ij}(X_1, \dots, X_n)]_m \|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all n -tuples $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. It is easy to see that the norms $\|\cdot\|_m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, determine an operator space structure on $Har^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$, in the sense of Ruan (see e.g. [13]).

The main result of this section is the following characterization of bounded free pluriharmonic functions.

Theorem 3.1. *If $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *u is a bounded free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$;*
- (ii) *there exists $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{SOT}$ such that $u(X) = \mathbf{P}_X[f]$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.*

In this case, $f = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Moreover, the map

$$\Phi : Har^\infty([B(\mathcal{H})_1^n] \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{SOT} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi(u) := f$$

is a completely isometric isomorphism of operator spaces, where $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E}) := B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the noncommutative disc algebra.

Proof. Assume that u is a bounded free pluriharmonic function on operatorial unit ball and let

$$u(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$$

be its representation on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. According to Proposition 2.2, we deduce that, for any $r \in [0, 1]$, $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$. One can show that u is bounded if and only if $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < \infty$. Indeed, if $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, then there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $(\frac{1}{r}X_1, \dots, \frac{1}{r}X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Since $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$, the noncommutative von Neumann inequality [25] implies $\|u(X_1, \dots, X_n)\| \leq \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|$. Hence, we deduce that

$\|u\| \leq \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < \infty$. Since $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, the reverse inequality is obvious, therefore,

$$(3.1) \quad \|u\| = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|.$$

Now, due to Theorem 1.2, $u(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is the Fourier representation of a multi-Toeplitz operator $f \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ and

$$(3.2) \quad f = \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n).$$

Hence, we deduce that $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\text{SOT}}$. The next step is to prove that $u(X) = \mathbf{P}_X[f]$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Since $u_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) := u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$, we can use the properties of the noncommutative Poisson transform and deduce (first on polynomials of the form $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha$) that

$$(3.3) \quad u_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_X[u_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)] = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)[u_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X)$$

for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Taking into account that the map $Y \mapsto Y \otimes I$ is SOT-continuous on bounded subsets of $B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ and $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u_r(S_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < \infty$, we can use relations (3.2) and

(3.3) to obtain

$$(3.4) \quad \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_X[f].$$

On the other hand, taking into account that u is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, and hence continuous, we have $\text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Therefore, (i) $\implies$ (ii).

To prove that (ii) $\implies$ (i), let $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\text{SOT}}$ and define $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting $u(X) := \mathbf{P}_X[f]$. We show first that u is a pluriharmonic function. Notice that due to Corollary 1.3, f is a multi-Toeplitz operator. Let $\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha$ be its Fourier representation. According to Theorem 1.2, $\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$,

$$(3.5) \quad \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = \|f\|, \quad \text{and} \quad f = \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n).$$

Consequently, due to Proposition 2.2, the map $g : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$$

is a free pluriharmonic function. Now, let us show that $u = g$. Since $\varphi_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$, we have

$$\varphi_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_X[\varphi_r(S_1, \dots, S_n)] = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)[\varphi_r(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X).$$

As above, since the map $Y \mapsto Y \otimes I$ is SOT-continuous on bounded subsets of $B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ and using relation (3.5), we deduce that

$$\text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)[f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X) = u(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

On the other hand, since $\varphi_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = g(rX_1, \dots, rX_n)$ and due to the continuity of g on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we have $\text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi_r(X_1, \dots, X_n) = g(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Hence, and using relation (3.4), we obtain $u = g$. This completes the proof of the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i).

To prove the last part of the theorem, notice that if $[u_{ij}]_m \in M_m(\text{Har}^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n))$ then, as above (see relation (3.1)), one can show that $\|[u_{ij}]_m\|_m = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|[u_{ij}(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]_m\|$ and that the operators $f_{ij} := \text{SOT-} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u_{ij}(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ are multi-Toeplitz for $i, j = 1, \dots, m$. According to relation (1.9), we have

$$P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)}[(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U^*)(f_{ij} \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}_*})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes U)]|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)} = u_{ij}(rS_1, \dots, rS_n), \quad 0 \leq r < 1.$$

Hence, we deduce that $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|[u_{ij}(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]_m\| \leq \|[f_{ij}]_m\|$. Moreover, since $[f_{ij}]_m$ is equal to $\text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} [u_{ij}(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]_m$, we have equality in the above inequality. Therefore, the map Φ is a completely isometric isomorphism of operator spaces. The proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *If $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *u is a bounded free pluriharmonic function;*
- (ii) *there is a bounded function $\varphi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that*

$$\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{1-r}}[\varphi(t)] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < t < 1,$$

and $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{1-r}X}[\varphi(r)]$ for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, 1)$.

Moreover, u and φ uniquely determine each other and satisfy the equation $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \varphi(r)$ for $r \in [0, 1)$.

Proof. Assume that u is a bounded free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. According to Theorem 3.1, there exists $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\text{SOT}}$ such that $u(X) = \mathbf{P}_X[f]$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < \infty$. Setting $\varphi(r) := u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \mathbf{P}_{rS}[\varphi]$ for $r \in [0, 1)$, we obtain a

function $\varphi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$. Notice that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{1-r}S}[u(tS_1, \dots, tS_n)]$, which implies $\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{1-r}S}[\varphi(t)]$ for $0 \leq r < t < 1$. On the other hand, since u is free pluriharmonic, Theorem 2.4 implies $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{1-r}X}[\varphi(r)]$ for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, (ii) holds.

Conversely, assume that condition (ii) holds. Using again Theorem 2.4, we deduce that the map $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{1-r}X}[\varphi(r)]$ for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ is free pluriharmonic. Since φ is bounded, the relation above implies $\|u\| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$, which completes the proof. $\square$

We can prove now the following Fatou type result concerning the boundary behavior of bounded free pluriharmonic functions. This also extends the F_n^∞ -functional calculus for pure row contractions [26]. We recall that $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ is a pure n -tuple if $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} X_\alpha X_\alpha^* \rightarrow 0$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, in the strong operator topology.

Theorem 3.3. *Let u be a bounded free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$. If $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ is a pure n -tuple of operators, then $\text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n)$ exists.*

Proof. Since $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ is a pure n -tuple of operators, the Poisson kernel K_X is an isometry and $p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)[p(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X)$ for any polynomial $p(S_1, \dots, S_n) \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$. Using the fact that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ for $r \in [0, 1)$, we deduce that

$$(3.6) \quad u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)(u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \otimes I)(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X).$$

Due to the boundedness of u , Theorem 3.1 implies $\text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\text{SOT}}$ and $\sup_{r \in [0, 1)} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| < \infty$. Now, using relation (3.6), we deduce that $\text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n)$ exists, which completes the proof. $\square$

4. DIRICHLET EXTENSION PROBLEM FOR FREE PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

In this section we solve the Dirichlet extension problem for the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and obtain a version of the maximum principle for free pluriharmonic (resp. C^* -harmonic) functions.

We denote by $\text{Har}^c([B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1)$ the set of all free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients, which have continuous extensions (in the operator norm topology) to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. Throughout this section we assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space.

Theorem 4.1. *If $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) u is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ which has a continuous extension (in the operator norm topology) to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$;
- (ii) there exists $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that $u(X) = \mathbf{P}_X(f)$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$;
- (iii) u is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ such that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ converges in the operator norm topology, as $r \rightarrow 1$.

In this case, $f = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, where the convergence is in the operator norm. Moreover, the map $\Phi : \text{Har}^c(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ defined by $\Phi(u) := f$ is a completely isometric isomorphism of operator spaces, where $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E}) := B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the noncommutative disc algebra.

Proof. First we prove that (iii) $\implies$ (ii). Assume that u is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ converges in the operator norm as $r \rightarrow 1$. Using Proposition 2.2, we deduce that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$ and, due to (iii), there exists f in $\overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \rightarrow f$ in the operator norm topology as $r \rightarrow 1$. We recall that the noncommutative Poisson transform $\mathbf{P}_X$ is defined by $\mathbf{P}_X[f] := (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X)$, $f \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$. On the other hand, since $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$, one can prove (first on polynomials) that

$$\mathbf{P}_X[u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)[u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X) = u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n)$$

for any $r \in [0, 1]$ and $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Since $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \rightarrow f$ in the operator norm, we deduce that $u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_X[f]$, as $r \rightarrow 1$. Taking into account that any free pluriharmonic function is continuous, we have $u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n) \rightarrow u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ in norm, as $r \rightarrow 1$. Summing up the results above, we deduce that $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_X[f]$ for $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, which proves (ii).

Now we prove the implication (ii) $\implies$ (iii). Assume that $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$. Due to Corollary 1.3, f is a multi-Toeplitz operator. Let $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}$ be the Fourier representation of $\varphi(S_1, \dots, S_n)$. By Theorem 1.2, for each $r \in [0, 1]$, the operator $\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})$. Now, we prove that

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \mathbf{P}_{rS}[f],$$

where $rS := (rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. Let $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ be fixed and $q := \max\{|\beta|, |\gamma|\}$, and define $Q_{\gamma, \beta} := \sum_{1 \leq |\sigma| \leq q} B_{(\sigma)} \otimes S_{\sigma}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{1 \leq |\sigma| \leq q} A_{(\sigma)} \otimes S_{\sigma}$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{P}_{rS}[f](x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\beta} \rangle &= \langle (f \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS})(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS})(y \otimes e_{\beta}) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} f(x \otimes e_{\alpha}) \otimes \Delta_{rS} r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}^* e_{\gamma}, \sum_{\omega \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} y \otimes e_{\omega} \otimes \Delta_{rS} r^{|\omega|} S_{\omega}^* e_{\beta} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\omega|=m} \langle f(x \otimes e_{\alpha}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \rangle \langle \Delta_{rS} r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}^* e_{\gamma}, \Delta_{rS} r^{|\omega|} S_{\omega}^* e_{\beta} \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^q \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \left(\sum_{m=0}^q \sum_{|\omega|=m} \langle Q_{\gamma, \beta}(x \otimes e_{\alpha}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \rangle \langle \Delta_{rS} r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}^* e_{\gamma}, \Delta_{rS} r^{|\omega|} S_{\omega}^* e_{\beta} \rangle \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\omega|=m} \langle Q_{\gamma, \beta}(x \otimes e_{\alpha}), y \otimes e_{\omega} \rangle \langle \Delta_{rS} r^{|\alpha|} S_{\alpha}^* e_{\gamma}, \Delta_{rS} r^{|\omega|} S_{\omega}^* e_{\beta} \rangle \right) \\ &= \langle (Q_{\gamma, \beta} \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(x \otimes K_{rS}(e_{\gamma})), (y \otimes K_{rS}(e_{\beta})) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{P}_{rS}[Q_{\gamma, \beta}](x \otimes e_{\gamma}), (y \otimes e_{\beta}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)(x \otimes e_{\gamma}), y \otimes e_{\beta} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Consequently, relation (4.1) holds.

For any $q \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})$, we have $q = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{P}_{rS}[q]$ in the operator norm topology. Since $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ using a standard approximation argument and the continuity in the operator norm of the noncommutative Poisson transform $\mathbf{P}_X$, we deduce that $f = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{P}_{rS}[f]$. Hence and using (4.1), we have $\varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \rightarrow f$, as $r \rightarrow 1$, in the operator norm topology. Now, define $u(X) := \mathbf{P}_X[f]$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and note that Theorem 3.1 (see also its proof) implies that u is a free pluriharmonic function and $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \varphi(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Therefore item (iii) follows.

Since $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, the implication (i) $\implies$ (iii) is obvious. It remains to prove that (ii) $\implies$ (i). Assume that $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ and $u(X) = \mathbf{P}_X[f]$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Due to Theorem 3.1, u is a bounded free pluriharmonic function. As above, one can show that for any n -tuple $Y := (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$, $\tilde{u}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{P}_{rY}[f]$ exists in the operator norm and, since $\|\mathbf{P}_{rY}[f]\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$, $\|\tilde{u}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for any $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. Notice also that $\tilde{u}$ is an extension of the free pluriharmonic function u defined by $u(X) := \mathbf{P}_X[f]$, $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Indeed, if $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, then

$$\tilde{u}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{P}_{rX}[f] = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rX_1, \dots, rX_n) = u(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

The last equality is due to the continuity of free pluriharmonic functions.

Now, let us prove that $\tilde{u} : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^- \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ is continuous. Since $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ converges in the operator norm to f , for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r_0 \in [0, 1)$ such that $\|f - u(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)\| < \epsilon$. Since $f - u(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n) \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$, and using the properties of the noncommutative Poisson transform, we deduce the von Neumann type inequality

$$(4.2) \quad \|\tilde{u}(T_1, \dots, T_n) - u(r_0T_1, \dots, r_0T_n)\| \leq \|f - u(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

for any $(T_1, \dots, T_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. Since u is a continuous function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\|u(r_0T_1, \dots, r_0T_n) - u(r_0Y_1, \dots, r_0Y_n)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for any n -tuples $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ and $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ in $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ such that $\|(T_1 - Y_1, \dots, T_n - Y_n)\| < \delta$. Hence, and using (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}(T_1, \dots, T_n) - \tilde{u}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)\| &\leq \|\tilde{u}(T_1, \dots, T_n) - u(r_0T_1, \dots, r_0T_n)\| \\ &\quad + \|u(r_0T_1, \dots, r_0T_n) - u(r_0Y_1, \dots, r_0Y_n)\| \\ &\quad + \|u(r_0Y_1, \dots, r_0Y_n) - \tilde{u}(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)\| < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\|(T_1 - Y_1, \dots, T_n - Y_n)\| < \delta$. This proves the continuity of $\tilde{u}$ on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. The last part of the theorem follows from Theorem 3.1. This completes the proof. $\square$

The proof of the next result is similar to the proof of Corollary 3.2 but one has to use Theorem 4.1. We shall omit it.

Corollary 4.2. *If $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *u is a free pluriharmonic function which has continuous extension to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$;*
- (ii) *there exists a continuous map $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$ such that*

$$\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}S}[\varphi(t)] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < t \leq 1,$$

$$\text{and } u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)] \text{ for any } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r \text{ and } r \in (0, 1].$$

Moreover, u and φ uniquely determine each other and satisfy the equations $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \varphi(r)$ if $r \in [0, 1)$ and $\varphi(1) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, where the convergence is in the operator norm topology.

In what follows we introduce the class of C^* -harmonic functions on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})]_1$. Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be a map with the property that

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}S}[\varphi(t)] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < t < 1,$$

and define the function $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ by setting

$$(4.4) \quad u(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)]$$

for any $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Notice that u is well-defined. Indeed, if $0 < r < t < 1$ and $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$, then, using relation (4.3) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}X}[\varphi(r)] = (\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X} \circ \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S})[\varphi(t)] = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}X}[\varphi(t)],$$

which proves our assertion. The map u defined by (4.4) is called C^* -harmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and φ is called the generating function of u .

We remark that, according to Theorem 2.4, any free pluriharmonic function is a C^* -harmonic function, while the converse is not true. For instance, consider the function $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes X_\alpha X_\beta^*$, $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ where Λ is any finite subset of $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ and $A_{\alpha, \beta} \in B(\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ be a map satisfying relation (4.3) and let u be the C^* -harmonic function generated by φ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) φ is continuous on $[0, 1]$ and $\|\varphi(r)\| \leq \|\varphi(t)\|$ for $0 \leq r < t < 1$;
- (ii) u is a bounded C^* -harmonic function if and only if its generating function φ is bounded.

Proof. Using the continuity of the noncommutative Berezin transform (see Theorem 2.1 part (ii)) and the fact that

$$\|\varphi(r_1) - \varphi(r_2)\| = \left\| \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r_1}{t}S}[\varphi(t)] - \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r_2}{t}S}[\varphi(t)] \right\|$$

for $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < t$, we deduce that φ is continuous. On the other hand, we have $\|\mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)]\| \leq \|\varphi(t)\|$ for $0 \leq r < t < 1$, which proves the second part of (i).

To prove (ii), assume that φ is bounded and $\sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \|\varphi(r)\| \leq M$ for some $M > 0$. Then, using relation (4.4), we deduce that

$$\|u(X)\| = \left\| \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)] \right\| \leq \|\varphi(r)\| \leq M$$

for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Conversely, assume that u is a bounded C^* -harmonic function. In particular, if $\mathcal{H} = F^2(H_n)$, $X = (rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, and $r < t < 1$, then, due to relations (4.3) and (4.4), we have $\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)] = u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Hence, φ is bounded on the interval $[0, 1]$. The proof is complete. $\square$

The following result is needed to solve the Dirichlet extension problem for C^* -harmonic functions.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $f \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ and define $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ by*

$$u(X) := \mathbf{P}_X[f], \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Then

- (i) u has a continuous extension $\tilde{u}$ to the closed ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ and the map

$$\Phi : B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow C([B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-, B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H})), \quad \Phi(f) = \tilde{u},$$

is a complete linear isometry;

- (ii) u has the Poisson mean value property, i.e.,

$$u(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] \quad \text{for any } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r \text{ and } r \in (0, 1),$$

and $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ for $r \in [0, 1]$;*

- (iii) $\sup_{\|X\|=r_1} \|u(X)\| \leq \sup_{\|X\|=r_2} \|u(X)\|$ for $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 < 1$;
- (iv) $f = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ in the operator norm topology and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1} \|u(X)\| &= \sup_{\|X\|=1} \|\tilde{u}(X)\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \\ &= \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = \|f\|; \end{aligned}$$

- (v) u is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ if and only if $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{A}_n(\mathcal{E})}^{\|\cdot\|}$.

Proof. Similarly to the relation (2.5), one can define $\tilde{u} : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^- \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\tilde{u}(X) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{P}_{rX}[f], \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-,$$

where the limit exists in the operator norm topology. Moreover, we have $\tilde{u}(X) = u(X)$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Now, we prove that $\tilde{u}$ is continuous on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and q be a polynomial of the form

$$(4.5) \quad q = q(S_1, \dots, S_n) := \sum C_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes S_{\alpha} S_{\beta}^*, \quad C_{\alpha, \beta} \in B(\mathcal{E}),$$

such that $\|f - q\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$. Since $\tilde{u}(X) - q(X) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (\mathbf{P}_{rX}[f] - \mathbf{P}_{rX}[q])$, we deduce that

$$(4.6) \quad \|\tilde{u}(X) - q(X)\| \leq \|f - q\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $\|q(X) - q(Y)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ whenever $X, Y \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ with $\|X - Y\| < \delta$. Consequently,

$$\|\tilde{u}(X) - \tilde{u}(Y)\| \leq \|\tilde{u}(X) - q(X)\| + \|q(X) - q(Y)\| + \|q(Y) - \tilde{u}(Y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for any $X, Y \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$ with $\|X - Y\| < \delta$. Therefore, $\tilde{u}$ is continuous. Taking into account that $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional and using the noncommutative von Neumann inequality, relation (4.6) implies

$$\|f\| - \frac{\epsilon}{3} \leq \|q\| = \sup_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|q(X)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \|\tilde{u}(X)\|$$

for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$. Hence, $\|f\| \leq \sup_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|\tilde{u}(X)\|$. The reverse inequality is due to the fact that $\tilde{u}(X) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mathbf{P}_{rX}[f]$. Therefore,

$$(4.7) \quad \|f\| = \sup_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|\tilde{u}(X)\| = \|\tilde{u}\|.$$

Similarly, one can prove that $\|[f_{ij}]_m\| = \|\tilde{u}[f_{ij}]_m\|$ for any matrix $[f_{ij}]_m \in M_m(B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n))$, which proves that the map Φ is a complete isometry. This completes the proof of part (i).

Let us prove (ii). If $r \in [0, 1)$ then

$$u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS})(f \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}),$$

where $rS := (rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Let $\{q_m(S_1, \dots, S_n)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of polynomials of the form (4.5) such that $q_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) \rightarrow f$ in the operator norm topology. Since

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)[q_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}) = q_m(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$$

is of the form (4.5), we deduce that $u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$, and

$$(4.8) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)(f \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}) = f,$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology. Due to Lemma 2.3, for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$u(X) = \mathbf{P}_X[f] = (\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X} \circ \mathbf{P}_{rS})[f] = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)],$$

which proves (ii).

To prove (iii), let $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < 1$ and set $r := \frac{r_1}{r_2}$. Let us prove now that

$$(4.9) \quad (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)[u(r_2S_1, \dots, r_2S_n) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}) = u(r_1S_1, \dots, r_1S_n).$$

Indeed, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)[u(r_2S_1, \dots, r_2S_n) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}) \\ &= (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)[(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_2S}^*)(f \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_2S}) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)[(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_2S}^*)(q_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_2S}) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)[q_m(r_2S_1, \dots, r_2S_n) \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)}](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} q_m(r_1S_1, \dots, r_1S_n) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_1S}^*)[q_m(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I](I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_1S}) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_1S}^*)(f \otimes I)(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{r_1S}) \\ &= u(r_1S_1, \dots, r_1S_n), \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion. Since the Poisson kernel K_{rS} is an isometry, relation (4.9) implies

$$(4.10) \quad \|u(r_1 S_1, \dots, r_1 S_n)\| \leq \|u(r_2 S_1, \dots, r_2 S_n)\|.$$

Now, let $X \in B(\mathcal{H})^n$ be such that $0 < \|X\| = r < 1$. For any polynomial of the form (4.5), we have

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)(q \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{\frac{t}{r}X}^*) \{ [(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)(q \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS})] \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}} \} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{\frac{t}{r}X}).$$

Since $K_{\frac{t}{r}X}$ is an isometry, we obtain

$$\|(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)(q \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X)\| \leq \|(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)(q \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS})\|.$$

An approximation of $f \in B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ with polynomials of the form (4.5) leads to

$$\|(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X^*)(f \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_X)\| \leq \|(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS}^*)(f \otimes I_{F^2(H_n)})(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes K_{rS})\|,$$

whence $\sup_{\|X\|=r} \|u(X)\| \leq \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional and $\|(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = r$, it is clear that $\sup_{\|X\|=r} \|u(X)\| \geq \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|$. Therefore, $\sup_{\|X\|=r} \|u(X)\| = \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|$ for any $r \in [0, 1]$. Combining this with inequality (4.10), we deduce item (iii).

To prove (iv), notice that, due to (4.8),

$$(4.11) \quad \|f\| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|.$$

Hence, using relations (4.7), (4.11), and the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, we deduce that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| = \sup_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|\tilde{u}(X)\| = \|f\|.$$

Now, due to the continuity of $\tilde{u}$, we deduce item (iv). Item (v) follows from (i) and Theorem 4.1. This completes the proof. $\square$

Now, we can solve the following Dirichlet extension problem for C^* -harmonic functions on the non-commutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Theorem 4.5. *If $u : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$, then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *u is a C^* -harmonic function which has a continuous extension to $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1^-$;*
- (ii) *there exists g in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ such that*

$$u(Y) = \mathbf{P}_Y[g] \quad \text{for any } Y \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1;$$

- (iii) *there exists a continuous function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ such that*

$$\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)] \quad \text{for } 0 \leq r < t \leq 1$$

and u has the Poisson mean value property with respect to φ , i.e.,

$$u(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)] \quad \text{for } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r \text{ and } r \in (0, 1].$$

Proof. The implication (ii) $\implies$ (iii) follows from Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 2.3, if we define the mapping $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ by setting $\varphi(r) := u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \mathbf{P}_{rS}[f]$ for $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi(1) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Conversely, assume that (iii) holds. Setting $g := \varphi(1)$, we have $\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{rS}[g]$ for $r \in [0, 1]$ and, due to Lemma 2.3,

$$u(X) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)] = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}(\mathbf{P}_{rS}[g]) = \mathbf{P}_X[g]$$

for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, item (ii) holds.

The implication (ii) $\implies$ (i) follows from Theorem 4.4 and the implication (ii) $\implies$ (iii). It remains to prove that (i) $\implies$ (ii). To this end, assume that (i) holds. Then there exists a function $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ such that $\varphi(r) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)]$ for $0 \leq r < t < 1$, and $u(X) := \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{t}X}[\varphi(t)]$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_t$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Consequently, if $0 \leq r < t$ and $X = (rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, we deduce that

$$u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{r}{t}S}[\varphi(t)] = \varphi(r).$$

Since u has a continuous extension to the closed ball $[B(F^2(H_n)^n)_1^-]$, we deduce that $g := \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi(r)$ exists in the norm topology and it is in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} C^*(S_1, \dots, S_n)$. Let $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and let $t \in (0, 1)$ be such that $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_r$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(X) - \mathbf{P}_X[g]\| &= \|\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r)] - \mathbf{P}_X[g]\| \leq \|\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[\varphi(r) - g]\| + \|\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[g] - \mathbf{P}_X[g]\| \\ &\leq \|\varphi(r) - g\| + \|\mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}[g] - \mathbf{P}_X[g]\|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the continuity of the noncommutative Berezin transform (see Theorem 2.1 part (ii)) and taking $r \rightarrow 1$, we conclude that $u(X) = \mathbf{P}_X[g]$, which proves item (ii). The proof is complete. $\square$

A consequence of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 is the following version of the maximum principle for C^* -harmonic functions.

Corollary 4.6. *Let u be a C^* -harmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients and let $r \in [0, 1)$. Then*

$$\sup_{\|X\| \leq r} \|u(X)\| = \sup_{\|X\| = r} \|u(X)\| = \|u(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|.$$

Moreover, if $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 < 1$, then $\sup_{\|X\| = r_1} \|u(X)\| \leq \sup_{\|X\| = r_2} \|u(X)\|$.

5. NONCOMMUTATIVE TRANSFORMS: FANTAPPIÈ, HERGLOTZ, AND POISSON

In this section, we introduce noncommutative versions of Fantappiè, Herglotz, and Poisson transforms associated with completely bounded maps on the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ (or $B(F^2(H_n))$), where $\mathcal{R}_n$ is the noncommutative disc algebra generated by the right creation operators $R_1, \dots, R_n$ on $F^2(H_n)$ and the identity. These transforms are used to obtain characterizations for the set of all free holomorphic functions with positive real parts, and to study the geometric structure of the free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Consider the operator space $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ and regard $M_m(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n)$ as a subspace of $M_m(B(F^2(H_n)))$. Let $M_m(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n)$ have the norm structure that it inherits from the (unique) norm structure on the C^* -algebra $M_m(B(F^2(H_n)))$. Let $\mu : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ be a completely bounded linear map. Then there exists a completely bounded linear map

$$\tilde{\mu} := \mu \otimes I : (\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$$

such that $\tilde{\mu}(f \otimes Y) = \mu(f) \otimes Y$ for $f \in \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ and $Y \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Moreover, $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{cb} = \|\mu\|_{cb}$ and, if μ is completely positive, then so is $\tilde{\mu}$.

We introduce the *noncommutative Fantappiè transform* of a completely bounded linear map $\mu : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ to be the map $\mathcal{F}\mu : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \tilde{\mu}[(I - R_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - R_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1}]$$

for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Notice that the noncommutative Fantappiè transform is a linear map and $\mathcal{F}\mu$ is a free holomorphic function in the open unit ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$.

If μ is a completely positive linear map on the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, we define the *noncommutative Herglotz transform* $H\mu : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$(H\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \tilde{\mu}[2(I - R_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - R_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I].$$

We introduce now the *noncommutative Poisson transform* of a completely bounded linear map $\mu : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ to be the map $\mathcal{P}\mu : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(\mathcal{P}\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \tilde{\mu}[P(R, X)], \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where the *free pluriharmonic Poisson kernel* $P(R, X)$ is defined by

$$(5.1) \quad P(R, X) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} R_{\tilde{\alpha}} \otimes X_{\alpha}^* + I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} R_{\alpha}^* \otimes X_{\alpha},$$

where the convergence is in the operator norm topology of $B(F^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H})$ and $R := (R_1, \dots, R_n)$ is the n -tuple of right creation operators. Due to the continuity of $\tilde{\mu}$ in the operator norm and Proposition 2.2, the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}\mu$ is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\mu : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ be a completely bounded linear map. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *The map $X \mapsto P(R, X)$ is a positive pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(F^2(H_n))$ and has the factorization $P(X, R) = B_X^* B_X$, $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, where*

$$B_X := (I \otimes \Delta_X)(I - R_1 \otimes X_1^* - \dots - R_n \otimes X_n^*)^{-1}$$

and $\Delta_X := (I - X_1 X_1^ - \dots - X_n X_n^*)^{1/2}$.*

- (ii) *The Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}\mu$ coincides with the Berezin transform $\mathcal{B}_\mu(I, \cdot)$.*
- (iii) *If μ is a positive linear map, then $\mathcal{P}\mu$ is positive on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.*

Proof. Denote $R_X := R_1 \otimes X_1^* + \dots + R_n \otimes X_n^*$. Since $R_i^* R_j = \delta_{ij} I$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and using (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(R, X) &= (I - R_X)^{-1} - I + (I - R_X^*)^{-1} \\ &= (I - R_X^*)^{-1} [I - R_X - (I - R_X^*)(I - R_X) + I - R_X^*] (I - R_X)^{-1} \\ &= (I - R_X^*)^{-1} [I \otimes (I - X_1 X_1^* - \dots - X_n X_n^*)] (I - R_X)^{-1} = B_X^* B_X. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $P(R, X) \geq 0$ for any $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Using now the definition of the Berezin transform $\mathcal{B}_\mu(I, \cdot)$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_\mu(I, X) = \tilde{\mu}(B_X^* B_X) = \tilde{\mu}(P(R, X)) = (\mathcal{P}\mu)(X),$$

which proves our assertion. Part (iii) is now obvious. $\square$

We need a few notations. Assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. We denote by $M^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ the set of all free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, that can be represented as noncommutative Herglotz transforms of completely positive linear maps $\mu : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$, up to a constant, that is, an operator of the form $i\text{Im } A \otimes I$, $A \in B(\mathcal{E})$. The set of all free holomorphic functions u on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with positive real part, i.e., $\text{Re } u(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, is denoted by $\text{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.

An operator-valued positive semidefinite kernel on the free semigroup $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is a map $K : \mathbb{F}_n^+ \times \mathbb{F}_n^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ with the property that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for each choice of vectors $h_1, \dots, h_k$ in $\mathcal{E}$, and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ in Σ the inequality $\sum_{i,j=1}^k \langle K(\sigma_i, \sigma_j) h_j, h_i \rangle \geq 0$ holds. Such a kernel is called multi-Toeplitz if it has the following properties: $K(g_0, g_0) = I_{\mathcal{E}}$, (g_0 is the neutral element in $\mathbb{F}_n^+$) and

$$K(\sigma, \omega) = \begin{cases} K(\sigma \setminus_l \omega, g_0) & \text{if } \sigma >_l \omega \\ K(g_0, \omega \setminus_l \sigma) & \text{if } \omega >_l \sigma \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(see Section 1 for notations). We denote by $\mathcal{S}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ the positive Schur class of free holomorphic functions ϕ on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that the kernel $K_\phi : \mathbb{F}_n^+ \times \mathbb{F}_n^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ defined by

$$(5.2) \quad K_\phi(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} A_{(\beta \setminus_l \alpha)}^* & \text{if } \beta >_l \alpha \\ A_{(0)} + A_{(0)}^* & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \\ A_{(\alpha \setminus_l \beta)} & \text{if } \alpha >_l \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is positive semi-definite, where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the reverse of $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and ϕ has the representation $\phi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$.

The main result of this section is the following.

Theorem 5.2. $Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) = \mathcal{S}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) = M^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.

Proof. Let f be in $Hol(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and have the representation $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$. For each $r \in [0, 1)$, define the multi-Toeplitz operator (with respect to $S_1, \dots, S_n$)

$$(5.3) \quad A_r := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{1}{2} A_{(\alpha)}^* \otimes r^{|\alpha|} R_{\alpha}^* + \frac{1}{2} (A_{(0)} + A_{(0)}^*) \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \frac{1}{2} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} R_{\alpha}.$$

Due to the properties of the Poisson transform and the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional, we can prove that $\operatorname{Re} f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ on $[B(\mathcal{H})_1^n]_1$ if and only if $A_r \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Indeed, for each $X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})_1^n]_1$, let $r \in [0, 1)$ such that $\|X\| < r$. Then, due to Theorem 2.4, we have $\operatorname{Re} f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathbf{P}_{\frac{1}{r}X}^+[A_r]$. Consequently, if $A_r \geq 0$, then $\operatorname{Re} f(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$. The other implication is obvious due to the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ is infinite dimensional.

Now, we prove that $Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Assume that f is in $Hol^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and define, for each $r \in [0, 1)$, the kernel $K_{f,r} : \mathbb{F}_n^+ \times \mathbb{F}_n^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ by

$$(5.4) \quad K_{f,r}(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} r^{|\beta| \setminus |\alpha|} A_{(\beta \setminus \alpha)}^* & \text{if } \beta >_l \alpha \\ \frac{1}{2} (A_{(0)} + A_{(0)}^*) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \\ \frac{1}{2} r^{|\alpha| \setminus |\beta|} A_{(\alpha \setminus \beta)} & \text{if } \alpha >_l \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that if $\{h_{\beta}\}_{|\beta| \leq q} \subset \mathcal{E}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} R_{\alpha} \right) \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq q} h_{\beta} \otimes e_{\beta} \right), \sum_{|\gamma| \leq q} h_{\gamma} \otimes e_{\gamma} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \left\langle \sum_{|\beta| \leq q} A_{(\alpha)} h_{\beta} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} R_{\alpha} e_{\beta}, \sum_{|\gamma| \leq q} h_{\gamma} \otimes e_{\gamma} \right\rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}} \sum_{|\beta|, |\gamma| \leq q} r^{|\alpha|} \langle A_{(\alpha)} h_{\beta}, h_{\gamma} \rangle \langle e_{\beta \bar{\alpha}}, e_{\gamma} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\gamma > \beta; |\beta|, |\gamma| \leq q} r^{|\gamma \setminus \beta|} \langle A_{(\gamma \setminus \beta)} h_{\beta}, h_{\gamma} \rangle = \sum_{\gamma > \beta; |\beta|, |\gamma| \leq q} 2 \langle K_{f,r}(\gamma, \beta) h_{\beta}, h_{\gamma} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similar calculations reveal that

$$\left\langle \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* \otimes r^{|\alpha|} R_{\alpha}^* \right) \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq q} h_{\beta} \otimes e_{\beta} \right), \sum_{|\gamma| \leq q} h_{\gamma} \otimes e_{\gamma} \right\rangle = \sum_{\beta > \gamma; |\beta|, |\gamma| \leq q} 2 \langle K_{f,r}(\gamma, \beta) h_{\beta}, h_{\gamma} \rangle.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\left\langle A_r \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq q} h_{\beta} \otimes e_{\beta} \right), \sum_{|\gamma| \leq q} h_{\gamma} \otimes e_{\gamma} \right\rangle = \sum_{|\beta|, |\gamma| \leq q} \langle K_{f,r}(\gamma, \beta) h_{\beta}, h_{\gamma} \rangle,$$

where the operator A_r is defined by relation (5.3) and $K_{f,r}$ is defined by (5.4). Since $A_r \geq 0$ for $r \in [0, 1)$, we deduce that $[K_{f,r}(\alpha, \beta)]_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq q} \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Taking $r \rightarrow 1$, we obtain $[K_{f,1}(\alpha, \beta)]_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq q} \geq 0$. Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{S}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.

Now, we prove that $\mathcal{S}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \subseteq M^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{S}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Since the kernel $K_{f,1}$ is positive semidefinite, so is the kernel $K_{\epsilon, f, 1}$, $\epsilon > 0$, defined by

$$K_{\epsilon, f, 1}(\alpha, \beta) := (D_0 + \epsilon I)^{-1/2} [K_{f,1}(\alpha, \beta) + \epsilon \delta_{\alpha\beta} I] (D_0 + \epsilon I)^{-1/2}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+,$$

where $D_0 := \frac{1}{2} (A_{(0)} + A_{(0)}^*)$. Now, since $K_{\epsilon, f, 1}$ is a positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz kernel which is normalized, i.e., $K_{\epsilon, f, 1}(g_0, g_0) = I$, we can apply Theorem 3.1 of [29] and deduce that there is a completely positive linear map $\mu_{\epsilon} : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mu_{\epsilon}(R_{\alpha}) = K_{\epsilon, f, 1}(g_0, \alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. The linear

map $\nu_\epsilon : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ defined by $\nu_\epsilon(g) = (D_0 + \epsilon I)^{1/2} \mu_\epsilon(g) (D_0 + \epsilon I)^{1/2}$ is completely positive and has the property that

$$\nu_\epsilon(R_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} A_{(\tilde{\alpha})}^* \text{ if } |\alpha| \geq 1 \text{ and } \nu_\epsilon(I) = \frac{1}{2} (A_{(0)} + A_{(0)}^*) + \epsilon I.$$

Setting $\mu(R_\alpha) := \frac{1}{2} A_{(\tilde{\alpha})}^*$ if $|\alpha| \geq 1$, and $\mu(I) = \frac{1}{2} (A_{(0)} + A_{(0)}^*)$, one can easily see that

$$\nu_\epsilon(g) = \mu(g) + \epsilon \langle g(1), 1 \rangle I \quad \text{for } g \in C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n).$$

Since $\nu_\epsilon(g) \rightarrow \mu(g)$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that μ is a completely positive linear map. Now, using the definition of the noncommutative Herglotz transform, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (H\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= 2(\mathcal{F}\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mu(I) \otimes I \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha + \left(\frac{A_{(0)}^* - A_{(0)}}{2} \right) I \\ &= f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - i(\operatorname{Im} f(0)) \otimes I. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $f \in M^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.

Let us prove now that $M^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \subseteq \operatorname{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. To this end, assume that $\varphi = H\mu$ for some completely positive linear map $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}(\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) + \varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n)^*) \\ &= \tilde{\mu}[(I - R_1 \otimes X_1^* - \dots - R_n \otimes X_n^*)^{-1} - I + (I - R_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - R_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1}] = \tilde{\mu}[P(R, X)], \end{aligned}$$

where $P(R, X)$ is defined by (5.1). Applying Proposition 5.1, we deduce that $\operatorname{Re} \varphi \geq 0$. This completes the proof. $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 5.2 (see also the proof), we obtain the following noncommutative Herglotz-Riesz representation for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f : [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1 \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} B(\mathcal{H})$ be a free holomorphic function with $\operatorname{Re} f \geq 0$ on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Then*

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \tilde{\mu}[2(I - R_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - R_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I] + i(\operatorname{Im} f(0)) \otimes I$$

for some completely positive linear map μ on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$.

Proof. Use the proof of Theorem 5.2 and apply Arveson's extension theorem [1]. $\square$

The following result is a Naimark ([19]) type theorem concerning the geometric structure of $\operatorname{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.

Theorem 5.4. *A free holomorphic function f on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ has positive real part if and only if there exists an n -tuple of isometries $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, with orthogonal ranges, and a bounded operator $W : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (W^* \otimes I) [2(I - V_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - V_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I] (W \otimes I) + i(\operatorname{Im} f(0)) \otimes I.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 5.2, f is in $\operatorname{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ if and only if it has the representation

$$(5.5) \quad f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \tilde{\mu}[2(I - R_1^* \otimes X_1 - \dots - R_n^* \otimes X_n)^{-1} - I] + i(\operatorname{Im} f(0)) \otimes I$$

for some completely positive linear map μ on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ with values in $B(\mathcal{E})$. On the other hand, due to Stinespring's representation theorem (see [43]), μ is a completely positive linear map on $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ if and only if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, a $*$ -representation $\pi : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$, and a bounded operator $W : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ with $\|\mu(I)\| = \|W\|^2$ such that $\mu(g) = W^* \pi(g) W$, $g \in C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$. Notice that $V_i := \pi(R_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are isometries with orthogonal ranges, and any $*$ -representation of $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ is generated by n isometries with orthogonal ranges. Hence and using (5.5), we find the required form for f . This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *The map $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{P}\mu$ is a linear and one-to-one correspondence between the space of all completely positive linear maps on the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ and the space of all positive free pluriharmonic functions on the open noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. In particular, any positive free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is the Poisson transform of a completely positive linear map on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$.*

Proof. The first part follows from Theorem 5.2 (see the proof) and the fact that any positive free pluriharmonic function has the form $\operatorname{Re} f$ for some free holomorphic function f . The second part is also due to Theorem 5.2 and Arveson's extension theorem. $\square$

Corollary 5.6. *A free pluriharmonic function h on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ is positive if and only if there exists an n -tuple of isometries $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, with orthogonal ranges, and a bounded operator $W : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (W^* \otimes I) [B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n)^* B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n)] (W \otimes I),$$

where $B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n) := (I \otimes \Delta_X)(I - V_1 \otimes X_1^* - \dots - V_n \otimes X_n^*)^{-1}$.

Proof. By Corollary 5.5, h is a positive free pluriharmonic function if and only if there is a completely positive map $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $h = \mathcal{P}\mu$. Using Proposition 5.1, we deduce that

$$h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \tilde{\mu}(B_X^* B_X), \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

where B_X is defined by (2.2). Now, the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.4. $\square$

Using Theorem 5.2, we can recast some of the results from [27] and [37] to our setting. More precisely, we can deduce the following Fejér type factorization result and Fejér and Egerváry-Szász type inequalities (see [14], [15]) for the moments of a positive linear functional on the Cuntz-Toeplitz algebra $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a positive linear functional with $\mu(R_\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $|\alpha| \geq m$. Then*

(i) *there exists a polynomial $p(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ in the noncommutative disc algebra $\mathcal{A}_n$ such that*

$$(\mathcal{P}\mu)(X) = P_X[p(S_1, \dots, S_n)^* p(S_1, \dots, S_n) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}], \quad X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

(ii)

$$\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\mu(R_\alpha)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \mu(I) \cos \frac{\pi}{\left[\frac{m-1}{k} \right] + 2}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq m-1$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of x .

Proof. Since μ is a positive linear functional, Corollary 5.5 shows that the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}\mu$ is a positive free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Taking into account that $\mu(R_\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, $|\alpha| \geq m$, we have

$$(\mathcal{P}\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m-1} \bar{a}_\alpha X_\alpha^* + a_0 + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m-1} a_\alpha X_\alpha,$$

where $a_0 = \mu(I)$ and $a_\alpha = \mu(R_\alpha^*)$ for $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m-1$. Notice that $q(S^*, S) := (\mathcal{P}\mu)(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ is a positive multi-Toeplitz operator with respect to the right creation operators. The Fejér type factorization theorem from [27] implies the existence of a polynomial $p(S_1, \dots, S_n)$ such that

$$q(S^*, S) = p(S_1, \dots, S_n)^* p(S_1, \dots, S_n).$$

On the other hand, using the noncommutative Poisson transform P_X , we have

$$(\mathcal{P}\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = P_X[q(S^*, S) \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}], \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Combining these equalities we deduce part (i). Part (ii) follows from Theorem 8.3 from [37] applied to the positive multi-Toeplitz operator $q(S^*, S)$. The proof is complete. $\square$

6. THE BANACH SPACE $Har^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$

In this section we characterize those free pluriharmonic function which are noncommutative Poisson transforms of completely bounded linear maps on the operator system $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, and those self-adjoint free pluriharmonic functions which admit Jordan type decompositions.

Throughout this section, we assume that $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space. Let h be a free pluriharmonic function on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})_1^n]$ with operator-valued coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, and let $\tau : B(F^2(H_n)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the bounded linear functional defined by $\tau(f) = \langle f(1), 1 \rangle$. The radial function associated with h ,

$$[0, 1) \ni r \mapsto h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \in \mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{E})^* + \mathcal{R}_n(\mathcal{E}),$$

generates a family $\{\nu_{h,r}\}_{r \in [0,1)}$ of completely bounded linear maps $\nu_{h,r} : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ uniquely determined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{h,r}(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*) &:= (\text{id} \otimes \tau) [(I \otimes R_{\alpha}^*) h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)], \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \\ \nu_{h,r}(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}) &:= (\text{id} \otimes \tau) [h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(I \otimes R_{\alpha})], \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, let q be a polynomial of the form

$$(6.1) \quad q := \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} c_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}^* + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} d_{\alpha} R_{\tilde{\alpha}}, \quad c_{\alpha}, d_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C},$$

and notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{h,r}(q) &= (\text{id} \otimes \tau) \left[\left(I \otimes \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} c_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}^* \right) h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \right] + (\text{id} \otimes \tau) \left[h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n) \left(I \otimes \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} d_{\alpha} R_{\alpha} \right) \right] \\ &= (\text{id} \otimes \tau) [(I \otimes q) h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)] - (\text{id} \otimes \tau) [d_0 h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)] + (\text{id} \otimes \tau) [h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)(I \otimes q)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$\|\nu_{h,r}(q)\| \leq (2\|q\| + |d_0|) \|h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)\| \leq 3\|q\| \|h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)\|.$$

Since $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ is the norm closure of polynomials of the form (6.1) and passing to matrices over $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, one can deduce that

$$\|[\nu_{h,r}(f_{ij})]_k\| \leq 3\|[f_{ij}]_k\| \|h(rR_1, \dots, rR_n)\| \quad \text{for any } [f_{ij}]_k \in M_k(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n), k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently, $\nu_{h,r}$ is a completely bounded map for each $r \in [0, 1)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mu : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ be a completely bounded linear map. For each $r \in [0, 1]$, define the linear map $\mu_r : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ by*

$$\mu_r(R_{\alpha}) := r^{|\alpha|} \mu(R_{\alpha}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_r(R_{\alpha}^*) := r^{|\alpha|} \mu(R_{\alpha}^*), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}.$$

Then

- (i) μ_r is a completely bounded linear map;
- (ii) $\mu_r(f) \rightarrow \mu(f)$ in the operator topology, as $r \rightarrow 1$;
- (iii) $\|\mu\|_{cb} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\mu_r\|_{cb} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|\mu_r\|_{cb}$.

Proof. Using the noncommutative von Neumann inequality, one can prove that $\|\mu_{r_1}\| \leq \|\mu_{r_2}\|$ for $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq 1$. Indeed, if $p(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ and $q(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ are polynomials in $\mathcal{R}_n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu_{r_1}(q(R_1, \dots, R_n)^* + p(R_1, \dots, R_n))\| &= \|\mu(q(r_1 R_1, \dots, r_1 R_n)^* + p(r_1 R_1, \dots, r_1 R_n))\| \\ &= \left\| \mu_{r_2} \left(q \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2} R_1, \dots, \frac{r_1}{r_2} R_n \right)^* + p \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2} R_1, \dots, \frac{r_1}{r_2} R_n \right) \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \|\mu_{r_2}\| \|q(R_1, \dots, R_n)^* + p(R_1, \dots, R_n)\|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion. In particular, we have $\|\mu_r\| \leq \|\mu\|$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. Similarly, passing to matrices over $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, one can show that $\|\mu_{r_1}\|_{cb} \leq \|\mu_{r_2}\|_{cb}$ if $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq 1$, and $\|\mu_r\|_{cb} \leq \|\mu\|_{cb}$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$. An approximation argument shows that $\mu_r(A) \rightarrow \mu(A)$ in the operator norm topology, as $r \rightarrow 1$, for any $A \in \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$. Now, one can easily see that $\|\mu\|_{cb} = \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\mu_r\|_{cb}$. Hence and using

the fact that the function $r \mapsto \|\mu_r\|_{cb}$ is increasing, we deduce that the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|\mu_r\|_{cb}$ exists and it is equal to $\|\mu\|_{cb}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 6.2. *Let h be a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a completely bounded linear map $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $h = \mathcal{P}\mu$;*
- (ii) *the completely bounded linear maps $\{\nu_{h,r}\}_{r \in [0,1]}$, associate with the radial function of h , are uniformly bounded, i.e., $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\nu_{h,r}\|_{cb} < \infty$;*
- (iii) *there exist positive free pluriharmonic functions h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that*

$$h = (h_1 - h_2) + i(h_3 - h_4).$$

Proof. Assume that (i) holds. Since h and $\mathcal{P}\mu$ are free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we deduce that h has the representation

$$h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha},$$

where $A_{(\alpha)} := \mu(R_{(\tilde{\alpha})}^*)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $B_{(\alpha)} := \mu(R_{(\tilde{\alpha})})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$. Notice that $\nu_{h,r}(R_{\alpha}) = r^{|\alpha|} \mu(R_{\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $\nu_{h,r}(R_{\alpha}^*) = r^{|\alpha|} \mu(R_{\alpha}^*)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$. Applying Lemma 6.1 to $\{\nu_{h,r}\}$, we deduce item (ii).

Now, we prove the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i). To this end, assume that h is a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ and condition (ii) holds. Let $\{f_j\}$ be a countable dense subset of $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ (for instance, consider all “noncommutative trigonometric polynomials” of the form $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}^* + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} d_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}$, whose coefficients lie in some countable dense subset of the complex plane). For each j , we have $\|\nu_{h,r}(f_j)\| \leq M\|f_j\|$ for any $r \in [0, 1]$, where $M := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\nu_{h,r}\|_{cb}$.

Due to Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the ball $[B(\mathcal{E})]_M^-$ is compact in the w^* -topology. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space, $[B(\mathcal{E})]_M^-$ is a metric space in the w^* -topology which coincides with the weak operator topology on $[B(\mathcal{E})]_M^-$. Consequently, the diagonal process guarantees the existence of a sequence $\{r_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ such that $r_m \rightarrow 1$ and $\text{WOT-}\lim_{m \rightarrow 1} \nu_{h,r_m}(f_j)$ exists for each f_j . Fix $f \in \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ and let us prove that $\{\langle \nu_{h,r_m}(f)x, y \rangle\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose f_j so that $\|f_j - f\| < \frac{\epsilon}{3M\|x\|\|y\|}$. Now, we choose N so that $|\langle \nu_{h,r_m}(f_j) - \nu_{h,r_k}(f_j)x, y \rangle| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for any $m, k > N$. Due to the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (\nu_{h,r_m}(f) - \nu_{h,r_k}(f))x, y \rangle| &\leq |\langle \nu_{h,r_m}(f - f_j)x, y \rangle| + |\langle (\nu_{h,r_m}(f_j) - \nu_{h,r_k}(f_j))x, y \rangle| \\ &\quad + |\langle \nu_{h,r_k}(f_j - f)x, y \rangle| \\ &\leq 2M\|x\|\|y\|\|f - f_j\| + |\langle (\nu_{h,r_m}(f_j) - \nu_{h,r_k}(f_j))x, y \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that $|\langle (\nu_{h,r_m}(f) - \nu_{h,r_k}(f))x, y \rangle| < \epsilon$ for $m, k > N$. Therefore, we deduce that $b(x, y) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle \nu_{h,r_m}(f)x, y \rangle$ exists for any $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ and defines a functional $b : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is linear in the first variable and conjugate linear in the second. Moreover, we have $|b(x, y)| \leq M\|f\|\|x\|\|y\|$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. Due to Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique bounded linear operator $B(\mathcal{E})$, which we denote by $\nu(f)$, such that $b(x, y) = \langle \nu(f)x, y \rangle$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$. Therefore, $\nu(f) = \text{WOT-}\lim_{r_m \rightarrow 1} \nu_{h,r_m}(f)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, and $\|\nu(f)\| \leq M\|f\|$. Notice that $\nu : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ is a bounded linear map with $\|\nu\| \leq M$. Moreover, ν is a completely bounded map. Indeed, if $[f_{ij}]_m$ is an $m \times m$ matrix over $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, then $[\nu(f_{ij})]_m = \text{WOT-}\lim_{r_k \rightarrow 1} [\nu_{h,r_k}(f_{ij})]_m$. Hence, $\|[\nu(f_{ij})]_m\| \leq M\|[f_{ij}]_m\|$ for all m , and so $\|\nu\|_{cb} \leq M$. Notice that, in particular, we have $\nu(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $\nu(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}) = B_{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$, where $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}$ and $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}$ are the coefficients of h . According to Wittstok’s extension theorem [47], there exists a completely bounded linear map $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}^*) = A_{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $\mu(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}) = B_{(\alpha)}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$ and such that $\|\mu\| = \|\nu\|$. Consequently, $h = \mathcal{P}\mu$ and item (ii) holds.

To prove the implication (i) $\implies$ (iii), we apply Wittstock’s decomposition theorem [46] to the completely bounded linear map $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$. Thus μ has a decomposition of the form

$$\mu = (\mu_1 - \mu_2) + i(\mu_3 - \mu_4)$$

where $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$ are completely positive linear maps on $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ with values in $B(\mathcal{E})$. Due to the linearity of the noncommutative Poisson transform, we have $h = (\mathcal{P}\mu_1 - \mathcal{P}\mu_2) + i(\mathcal{P}\mu_3 - \mathcal{P}\mu_4)$. Since $(\mathcal{P}\mu_j)(X) = \tilde{\mu}_j[P(R, X)]$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$ and, due to Proposition 5.1, $P(R, X) \geq 0$ for $X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we deduce that $\mathcal{P}\mu_j$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$, are positive free pluriharmonic functions. Hence we deduce (iii).

It remains to show that (iii) $\implies$ (i). To this end, we assume that (iii) holds. Applying Corollary 5.5 to the positive free holomorphic functions h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 , we find $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4$, some completely positive linear maps on $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ with values in $B(\mathcal{E})$, such that $h_s = \mathcal{P}\mu_s$ for $s = 1, \dots, 4$. Setting $\mu := (\mu_1 - \mu_2) + i(\mu_3 - \mu_4)$ and using item (iii), we deduce that $h = \mathcal{P}\mu$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We remark that, due to Theorem 6.2, the map $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{P}\mu$ is a linear one-to-one correspondence between the set of all completely bounded linear maps on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ and the set

$$\{(u_1 - u_2) + i(u_3 - u_4) : u_j \geq 0, u_j \in \text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)\}.$$

Using again Theorem 6.2 and the Jordan type decomposition for selfadjoint completely bounded linear maps on C^* -algebras (see [20]), one can easily deduce the following result.

Corollary 6.3. *Let u be a selfadjoint free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) u admits a Jordan decomposition $u = u_+ - u_-$, where u_+ and u_- are positive free pluriharmonic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$;
- (ii) the selfadjoint completely bounded linear maps $\{\nu_{h,r}\}_{r \in [0,1]}$, associate with the radial function of h , are uniformly bounded, i.e., $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\nu_{h,r}\|_{cb} < \infty$;
- (iii) there exists a selfadjoint completely bounded linear map $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $h = \mathcal{P}\mu$.

Moreover, one can choose $u_+ = \mathcal{P}\mu_+$ and $u_- = \mathcal{P}\mu_-$, where $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$ is the Jordan decomposition of μ , i.e., $\mu_+, \mu_- \geq 0$ and $\|\mu\| = \|\mu_+\| + \|\mu_-\|$.

The map $\mu \mapsto \mathcal{P}\mu$ is a linear one-to-one correspondence between the set of all selfadjoint completely bounded linear maps on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ and the set $\{u_1 - u_2 : u_j \geq 0, u_j \in \text{Har}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)\}$.

We introduce now the space $\text{Har}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ of all free pluriharmonic functions h on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\nu_{h,r}\| < \infty$ and define $\|h\|_1 := \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \|\nu_{h,r}\|$. It is easy to see that $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a norm on $\text{Har}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Denote by $\text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E}))$ the space of all completely bounded linear maps from $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ to $B(\mathcal{E})$.

Theorem 6.4. $(\text{Har}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space which can be identified with the Banach space $\text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E}))$. Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) h is in $\text{Har}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$;
- (ii) there is a unique completely bounded linear map $\mu_h : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $h = \mathcal{P}\mu_h$;
- (iii) there exists an n -tuple of isometries $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, with orthogonal ranges, and bounded operators $W_i : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $i = 1, 2$, such that

$$h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (W_1^* \otimes I) [B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n)^* B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n)] (W_2 \otimes I),$$

$$\text{where } B_X(V_1, \dots, V_n) := (I \otimes \Delta_X)(I - V_1 \otimes X_1^* - \dots - V_n \otimes X_n^*)^{-1}.$$

Proof. Define the map $\Psi : \text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow \text{Har}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ by $\Psi(\mu) := \mathcal{P}\mu$. To prove injectivity of Ψ , let μ_1, μ_2 be in $\text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E}))$ such that $\Psi(\mu_1) = \Psi(\mu_2)$. Then, due to the uniqueness of the representation of a free pluriharmonic function and the definition of the noncommutative Poisson transform of a completely bounded map on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, we deduce that $\mu_1(R_\alpha) = \mu_2(R_\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$, and $\mu_1(R_\alpha^*) = \mu_2(R_\alpha^*)$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$. Hence, we have $\mu_1 = \mu_2$. The surjectivity of the map Ψ is due to Theorem 6.2. The same theorem (see the proof) implies $\|\mathcal{P}\mu\|_1 = \|\mu\|$ for any μ in $\text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E}))$. This completes the proof of the equivalence of (i) with (ii) and the identification of $\text{Har}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ with $\text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E}))$.

To prove (iii), notice that part (i) and Proposition 5.1 imply

$$(6.2) \quad h(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\mathcal{P}\mu_h)(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \tilde{\mu}_h(B_X^* B_X),$$

where $B_X := (I \otimes \Delta_X)(I - R_1 \otimes X_1^* - \dots - R_n \otimes X_n^*)$. On the other hand, by Wittstock's extension theorem [47], there exists a completely bounded map $\phi : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ that extends μ_h with $\|\mu_h\|_{cb} = \|\phi\|_{cb}$. According to Theorem 8.4 from [20], which is a generalization of Stinespring's representation theorem [43], there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$, a $*$ -representation $\pi : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$, and bounded operators $W_j : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$, $j = 1, 2$, with $\|\phi\| = \|W_1\| \|W_2\|$ such that

$$\phi(f) = W_1^* \pi(f) W_2, \quad f \in C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n).$$

Notice that $V_i := \pi(R_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, are isometries with orthogonal ranges and any $*$ -representation of $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$ is generated by n isometries with orthogonal ranges. Using now relation (6.2), one can complete the proof of part (iii). $\square$

Consider now the space of free holomorphic functions $H^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) := \text{Hol}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \cap \text{Har}^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ together with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$. The following result is a consequence of Theorem 6.4 and a weak version of the F. and M. Riesz theorem [18], in our setting.

Corollary 6.5. *$(H^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a Banach space which can be identified with the annihilator of $\mathcal{R}_n$ in $\text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E}))$, i.e.,*

$$(\mathcal{R}_n)^\perp := \{\mu \in \text{CB}(\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n, B(\mathcal{E})) : \mu(R_\alpha) = 0 \text{ for all } |\alpha| \geq 1\}.$$

In particular, for each $f \in H^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$, there is a unique completely bounded linear map $\mu_f \in (\mathcal{R}_n)^\perp$ such that $f = \mathcal{P}\mu_f$.

Let $H^2(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ be the set of all free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, of the form $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$ such that $\|\varphi\|_2 := \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2}$ is finite. It is clear that $(H^2(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n), \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a Banach space. We recall [35] that $H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ is the set of all bounded free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Due to the results of Section 3, it is clear that

$$H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) = \text{Hol}(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \cap \text{Har}^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n).$$

Proposition 6.6. *$H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \subset H^2(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \subset H^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and the inclusions are continuous.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ have the representation $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$. Then its boundary function has the Fourier representation $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha$. Note that, for any $x \in \mathcal{E}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, we have

$$\|\varphi\|_2 \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \|A_{(\alpha)} x\|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left\| \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha \right) (x \otimes 1) \right\| \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty.$$

Therefore, $\varphi \in H^2(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and $\|\varphi\|_2 \leq \|\varphi\|_\infty$. Assume now that $\varphi \in H^2(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Define the linear map $\mu_\varphi : \mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ by $\mu_\varphi(R_\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{g_0\}$, and $\mu_\varphi(R_\alpha^*) = A_{(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Due to Corollary 6.5, to show that $\varphi \in H^1(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$, it is enough to prove that $\mu_\varphi \in (\mathcal{R}_n)^\perp$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mu_\varphi \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_\alpha R_\alpha^* + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha R_\alpha \right) \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_\alpha A_{(\bar{\alpha})} \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} |c_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \\ &= \left\| \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \bar{c}_\alpha R_\alpha + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \bar{d}_\alpha R_\alpha^* \right) (1) \right\| \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} c_\alpha R_\alpha^* + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha R_\alpha \right\| \|\varphi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence and using Corollary 6.5, we deduce that $\|\varphi\|_1 = \|\mu_\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\|_2$. Similarly, passing to matrices over $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, one can show that μ_φ is a completely bounded map. Since φ is a free pluriharmonic function and $\varphi = \mathcal{P}\mu_\varphi$, it is clear that μ_φ is the only completely bounded map on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ with this property. $\square$

Remark 6.7. If $\varphi \in H_{\mathbb{C}}^2(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and μ_φ is the associated bounded linear functional on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, then there are vectors $\eta, \xi \in F^2(H_n)$ such that $\mu_\varphi(R_\alpha^*) = \langle R_\alpha^* \eta, \xi \rangle$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ and

$$\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \langle R_\alpha^* \eta, \xi \rangle X_\alpha, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Proof. Denote by μ_φ^* the linear functional on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$ defined by $\mu_\varphi^*(f) := \overline{\mu_\varphi(f^*)}$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{n,0}^k$ be the norm closed linear span of the operators R_α , $|\alpha| \geq k$ and assume that φ has the representation $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_\alpha X_\alpha$. Notice that, for any $m \geq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mu_\varphi^* \left(\sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha R_\alpha \right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha \bar{a}_\alpha \right| \leq \left(\sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq m} |d_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq m} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\| \left(\sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha R_\alpha \right) (1) \right\| \left(\sum_{k \leq |\alpha|} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left\| \sum_{k \leq |\alpha| \leq m} d_\alpha R_\alpha \right\| \left(\sum_{k \leq |\alpha|} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \mu_\varphi^*|_{\mathcal{R}_{n,0}^k} \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{k \leq |\alpha|} |a_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using Proposition 2.2 from [10], we deduce that $\mu_\varphi^*|_{\mathcal{R}_n}$ is an absolutely continuous functional on $\mathcal{R}_n$, i.e., there are vectors $\xi, \eta \in F^2(H_n)$ such that $\mu_\varphi^*(A) = \langle A\xi, \eta \rangle$ for any $A \in \mathcal{R}_n$. Therefore, we have $\mu_\varphi(R_\alpha^*) = \overline{\mu_\varphi(R_\alpha)} = \langle R_\alpha^* \eta, \xi \rangle$. Since $\varphi = \mathcal{P}\mu_\varphi$, we complete the proof. $\square$

The remark above leads to the following question: can the set $(\mathcal{R}_n)^\perp$ be identified with the set of all absolutely continuous functionals on $\mathcal{R}_n^*$? If the answer is positive, then it will constitute a noncommutative multivariable generalization of F. and M. Riesz theorem [18].

Using Theorem 6.2, we can recast some results from [21] and [36], and obtain the following Wiener and Bohr type inequalities (see [3]) for the analytic moments of a selfadjoint linear functional on $C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n)$. Let $\mu, \tau : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be selfadjoint linear functionals, where τ is defined by $\tau(g) := \langle g(1), 1 \rangle$. If $\mu \leq \tau$ on $\mathcal{R}_n^* + \mathcal{R}_n$, then

- (i) $\left(\sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\mu(R_\alpha)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 1 - |\mu(I)|;$
- (ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\mu(R_\alpha)| r_\alpha \leq 1$ if $\|(r_1, \dots, r_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{2};$
- (iii) $|\mu(I)| + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} |\mu(R_\alpha)| r_\alpha \leq 1$ if $\|(r_1, \dots, r_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{3}.$

7. NONCOMMUTATIVE CAYLEY TRANSFORMS

We introduce Cayley type transforms acting on formal power series, contractive free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, and multi-analytic matrices, respectively. These transforms are needed in the next section to solve the Carathéodory interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts.

Let $f = \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$ be a formal power series in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$, coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, and constant term 0. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, f^m defines a power series $\sum_{|\alpha| \geq m} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$

and it makes sense to consider the formal power series $\varphi = 1 + f + f^2 + \dots$. We call $C_{(\alpha)}$ the α -coefficient of f^m . Notice that if $m > k$, then the term f^m has all coefficients of order $\leq k$ equal to 0. Thus, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \leq k$, then we may define the α -coefficient of φ as the α -coefficient of the finite sum $1 + f + f^2 + \dots + f^m$. Notice that $\varphi = 1 + \sum_{|\alpha| \geq 1} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes Z_\alpha$, where

$$(7.1) \quad B_{(\alpha)} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\alpha|} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_j = \alpha \\ |\gamma_1| \geq 1, \dots, |\gamma_j| \geq 1}} A_{(\gamma_1)} \cdots A_{(\gamma_j)} \quad \text{for } |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

Since $(1-f)\varphi = \varphi(1-f) = 1$, we have $(1-f)^{-1} = \varphi$. Denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ the algebra of all formal power series in noncommutative indeterminates $Z_1, \dots, Z_n$, coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, and constant term 0. We introduce the Cayley transform $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} : \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ by setting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(f) := (1-f)^{-1}f, \quad f \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n].$$

Proposition 7.1. *The Cayley transform for formal power series is a bijection and*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(f) = f(1+f)^{-1}, \quad f \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n].$$

Proof. If $f_1, f_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}(f_1) = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}(f_2)$, then $f_1(1-f_2) = (1-f_1)f_2$, whence $f_1 = f_2$. To prove that the Cayley transform is surjective, let $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and notice that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}}[f(1+f)^{-1}] = [1-f(1+f)^{-1}]f(1+f)^{-1} = [(1+f)^{-1}(1+f-f)]^{-1}(1+f)^{-1}f = f.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ the set of all polynomials of degree ≤ 1 in $F^2(H_n)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}^{(m)} := \text{span}\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, |\alpha| \leq m\},$$

and define the nilpotent operators $S_i^{(m)} : \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ by $S_i^{(m)} := P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} S_i|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $S_1, \dots, S_n$ are the left creation operators on the Fock space $F^2(H_n)$ and $P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}$ is the orthogonal projection of $F^2(H_n)$ onto $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. Notice that $S_\alpha^{(m)} = 0$ if $|\alpha| \geq m+1$. According to [37], the n -tuple of operators $(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ is the universal model for row contractions $(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ with $T_\alpha = 0$ for $|\alpha| \geq m+1$, and the following constrained von Neumann inequality holds:

$$(7.2) \quad \|p(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\|$$

for any noncommutative polynomial $p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let f, g be free holomorphic functions on the noncommutative open ball $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients.*

(i) *If f has the representation $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$, then*

$$f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(m)}$$

is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ and

$$\|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\| = \sup_{r \in [0,1]} \|f(rS_1^{(m)}, \dots, rS_n^{(m)})\|.$$

(ii) *$f = g$ if and only if $f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(iii) *$f \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ if and only if $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\| < \infty$. Moreover, in this case,*

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\|.$$

Proof. Since $S_\alpha^{(m)} = 0$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq m+1$, and using inequality (7.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(rS_1^{(m)}, \dots, rS_n^{(m)})\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha^{(m)} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(m)} \right\| = \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in [0, 1]$. Since $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(rS_1^{(m)}, \dots, rS_n^{(m)}) = f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ in the operator norm topology, we deduce part (i). Part (ii) is obvious, so we prove (iii). According to [35], if $f \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$, then $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{r \in [0, 1]} \|f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\|$. Since $f(rS_1^{(m)}, \dots, rS_n^{(m)}) = P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}}$, $r \in [0, 1]$, we deduce that $\|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Conversely, assume that $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\| < \infty$ and $f \notin H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Then for any $M > 0$ there exists $r_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\|f(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)^*\| > M$. Consequently, we can find a vector $q = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} h_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha$ of norm one such that $\|f(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)^*q\| > M$. Notice that

$$\|f(S_1^{(k)}, \dots, S_n^{(k)})\| \geq \|f(r_0S_1^{(k)}, \dots, r_0S_n^{(k)})^*\| \geq \|f(r_0S_1, \dots, r_0S_n)^*q\| > M,$$

which implies $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\| > M$. Hence, we get a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $f \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Moreover, the considerations above can be used to deduce that $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \|f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})\|$. The proof is complete. $\square$

We remark that a result similar to that of Lemma 7.2 holds for free pluriharmonic functions. The proof is basically the same but uses the results of Section 3. Consider now the sets

$$H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) := \{f \in H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) : f(0) = 0\}$$

$$Hol_0^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) := \{g \in Hol(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) : g(0) = 0, g(X)^* + I + g(X) \geq 0 \text{ for } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1\}.$$

We introduce the noncommutative Cayley transform

$$\mathcal{C} : [H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1} \rightarrow Hol_0^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n) \quad \text{defined by } \mathcal{C}f := g,$$

where g is the free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ uniquely determined by the formal power series $(1 - \tilde{f})^{-1}\tilde{f}$, where $\tilde{f}$ is the power series associated with f . Of course, it remains to show that $\mathcal{C}$ is well-defined.

Theorem 7.3. *The noncommutative Cayley transform is a bijection between the unit ball $[H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$ and $Hol_0^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.*

Proof. First, we show that the map $\mathcal{C}$ is well-defined. Let f be in $[H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$ and have the representation $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$, $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. We shall prove that $\mathcal{C}(f) \in Hol_0^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Due to the Schwartz type lemma for bounded free holomorphic functions on the open unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$ (see [35]), we have $\|f(X_1, \dots, X_n)\| \leq \|[X_1, \dots, X_n]\|$ for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Since $f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_n$ and $\|f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq r < 1$, the operator $I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is invertible with its inverse $(I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n))^{-1}$ in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_n \subset B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} F_n^\infty$, where F_n^∞ is the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra [25], i.e., the weakly closed algebra generated by the left creation operators and the identity. Therefore, the operator $(I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n))^{-1}f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ is in $B(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_n$ and has a representation $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha$ for some operators $B_{(\alpha)} \in B(\mathcal{E})$. Using the fact that

$$r^{|\alpha|} B_{(\alpha)} = P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes S_\alpha^*) (I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n))^{-1} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}},$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n))^{-1} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) &= f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) + f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^2 + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes r^{|\alpha|} S_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficients $B_{(\alpha)}$ are given by relation (7.1). Hence and due to the definition of the noncommutative Cayley transform, we have

$$\mathcal{C}(f)(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) := g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = (I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n))^{-1} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n), \quad r \in [0, 1).$$

This shows that $g(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$ is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, and $g(0) = 0$. Now, we prove that

$$(7.3) \quad g(X)^* + I + g(X) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } X \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1.$$

Due to the Poisson mean value property of Theorem 2.4, it is enough to show that

$$h(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) := g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^* + I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } r \in [0, 1).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)[I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] &= f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \{I + [I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^{-1} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\} \\ &= f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)[I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^{-1} = g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n). \end{aligned}$$

Using this relation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} h(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) &= [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^* [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] - g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^* g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \\ &= [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^* [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] \\ &\quad - [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^* f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^* f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] \\ &= [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^* [I - f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)^* f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)] [I + g(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)\| \leq 1$, we deduce that $h(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) \geq 0$ for any $r \in [0, 1)$, which proves relation (7.3). Therefore, $\mathcal{C}(f) \in \text{Hol}_0^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$.

To prove injectivity of $\mathcal{C}$, let $f_1, f_2 \in [H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$ such that $\mathcal{C}f_1 = \mathcal{C}f_2$. Then

$$[I - f_1(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^{-1} f_1(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = [I - f_2(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)]^{-1} f_2(rS_1, \dots, rS_n).$$

Multiplying this equality to the left by $I - f_1(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ and to the right by $I - f_2(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$, we deduce that $f_1(rS_1, \dots, rS_n) = f_2(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$ for $r \in [0, 1)$. Consequently, $f_1 = f_2$.

To prove that the noncommutative Cayley transform is surjective, let g be in $\text{Hol}_0^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and have the representation $g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$. First, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} H(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) &:= g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^* + I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \\ &= [I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^* [I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] - g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^* g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $H(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$, we deduce that $\|[I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]x\| \geq \|g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})x\|$ for any $x \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$. Consequently, there exists a contraction $A_m : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ such that $A_m[I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] = g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$. Since $g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ is lower triangular, $I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ is invertible, and therefore

$$A_m^* = [I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^*]^{-1} g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^*.$$

Now, notice that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $(S_i^{(m+1)})^*|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = (S_i^{(m)})^* = S_i^*|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}$. Hence, $A_{m+1}^*|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = A_m^*$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Using a standard argument, one can prove that there is a unique contraction $A \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ such that $A^*|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = A_m^*$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, if $x \in \mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n)$ let $q_m := P_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} x$ and notice that $\{A_m^* q_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, we can define $A^* x := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} A_m^* q_m$. Since $\|A_m\| \leq 1$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, so is the operator A .

Taking into account that $R_i S_j = S_j R_i$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is an invariant subspace under each operator $R_1, \dots, R_n$, $S_1, \dots, S_n$, we deduce that $(S_j^{(m+1)})^* R_i^*|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m+1)}} = R_i^* (S_j^{(m+1)})^*$. Hence and due to the form of the operator A_m , we have $(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) A_m^* = A_m^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Now, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| = k$, and $k = 0, 1, \dots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) A^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) &= (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) A_{k+1}^* e_{\alpha g_i} = A_{k+1}^* (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*) (x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) \\ &= A_{k+1}^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = A_k^* (x \otimes e_{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

and $A^*(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)(x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) = A^*(x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = A_k^*(x \otimes e_{\alpha})$. Hence, we deduce that

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)A^*(x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}) = A^*(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)(x \otimes e_{\alpha g_i}).$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ has the form $g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_p}$ with $g_{i_p} \neq i$, then $A^*(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)(x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = 0$ and

$$(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)A^*(x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = A_{k+1}^*(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)(x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = 0,$$

which shows that $A^*(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)(x \otimes e_{\alpha}) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i^*)A^*(x \otimes e_{\alpha})$. Therefore, $A(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i) = (I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes R_i)A$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. According to [27], we deduce that A is in $B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} F_n^{\infty}$, the weakly closed algebra generated by the spatial tensor product. Due to [35], there is a unique $f \in H^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H}_1^n))$ having the boundary function A , i.e., $A = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)$. Hence, and using the fact that $A^*|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = A_m^*$, we deduce that

$$A_m = \text{SOT-}\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} f(rS_1, \dots, rS_n)|_{\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(rS_1^{(m)}, \dots, rS_n^{(m)}) = f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}).$$

Therefore, we have $f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = [I + g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^{-1} g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, which is equivalent to

$$f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})[I - f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})].$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{C}(f)(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 7.2, we have $\mathcal{C}(f) = g$, which proves that the Cayley transform is surjective. $\square$

Denote by $\mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of all noncommutative polynomials of degree $\leq m$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}$ be the set of all operators $q(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)})$, where $q \in \mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and $q(0) = 0$. We also denote by $\mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{(m)}$ the set of all operators $p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}$ with the property that

$$p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^* + I + p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0.$$

We introduce now the truncated (or constrained) Cayley transforms $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, defined on the unit ball of the subalgebras $\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}$, and point out the connection with the noncommutative Cayley transform.

Theorem 7.4. *The Cayley transform $\mathcal{C}^{(m)} : [\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}]_{\leq 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{(m)}$ defined by $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}(X) := X(I - X)^{-1}$, $X \in [\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}]_{\leq 1}$, is a bijection and its inverse is given by $[\mathcal{C}^{(m)}]^{-1}(Y) = Y(I + Y)^{-1}$, $Y \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{(m)}$. Moreover,*

- (i) $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}[f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] = (\mathcal{C}f)(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for any $f \in [H_0^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H}_1^n))]_{\leq 1}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) $[\mathcal{C}^{(m)}]^{-1}[g(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})] = [\mathcal{C}^{-1}(g)](S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for any $g \in \text{Hol}_0^+(B(\mathcal{H}_1^n))$.

Proof. First, note that if $X \in [\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}]_{\leq 1}$, then $X^{m+1} = 0$ and $I - X$ is invertible. Therefore,

$$Y := X(I - X)^{-1} = X + X^2 + \dots + X^m$$

has the form $q(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$, where $q \in \mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ and $q(0) = 0$. Notice also that $X(I + Y) = Y$ and

$$Y + I + Y^* = (I + Y)^*(I + Y) - Y^*Y = (I + Y^*)(I - X^*X)(I + Y) \geq 0.$$

Therefore $Y \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{(m)}$. Conversely, if $Y \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{(m)}$, then $Y + I + Y^* \geq 0$ and, as in the proof of Theorem 7.3, there exists a contraction $A_m : \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ such that $A_m = Y(I + Y)^{-1}$. Since $Y^{m+1} = 0$, it is easy to see that A_m has the form $p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ for some polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}^{(m)}[Z_1, \dots, Z_n]$ with $p(0) = 0$. Hence, $A_m \in \mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}$. As in the proof of Proposition 7.1, one can prove that the Cayley transform $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$ is one-to-one and $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}(A_m) = Y$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}$ is a bijection.

If $f \in [H_0^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H}_1^n))]_{\leq 1}$ then $f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})$ is in $[\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}]_{\leq 1}$. Since $f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^{m+1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{C}f = f + f^2 + \dots$, we have

$$(\mathcal{C}f)(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})[I - f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})]^{-1} = \mathcal{C}^{(m)}[f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})].$$

Therefore, item (i) holds. Setting $g = \mathcal{C}f$ in (i) and using Theorem 7.3, one can easily deduce (ii). The proof is complete. $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 7.4.

Corollary 7.5. *Let $\mathcal{T}_{n,I}^{(m)} \subset B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)})$ be the set of all positive operators of the form*

$$p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)})^* + I + p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}),$$

where $p(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \in \mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{(m)}$. Then, there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$A \mapsto T := [\mathcal{C}^{(m)}(A)]^* + I + [\mathcal{C}^{(m)}(A)]$$

between $\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m)}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{n,I}^{(m)}$.

8. CARATHÉODORY INTERPOLATION FOR FREE HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH POSITIVE REAL PARTS

In this section we solve the Carathéodory interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with positive real parts and show that it is equivalent to the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem for multi-analytic operators [27] and to the Carathéodory interpolation problem for positive-definite multi-Toeplitz kernels on free semigroups [31]. Using the results from [31], we can provide a parametrization of all solutions in terms of generalized Schur sequences.

Recall that $\mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ is the set of all polynomials in $F^2(H_n)$ of degree $\leq m$. According to [27], an operator $A_m \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)})$ is called multi-analytic if there exists a sequence of operators $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \leq m}$ in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that A_m has the matrix representation $[A_{\alpha,\beta}]_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m}$, where

$$(8.1) \quad A_{\alpha,\beta} := \begin{cases} A_{(\alpha \setminus \iota \beta)} & \text{if } \alpha \geq \iota \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the set of all multi-analytic operators on $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$ coincide with the commutant of the operators $I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes S_1^{(m)}, \dots, I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes S_n^{(m)}$, where $S_i^{(m)} := P_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}} S_i|_{\mathcal{P}^{(m)}}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The definition of a multi-analytic operator $A \in B(F^2(H_n))$ is now clear. Moreover, we proved in [27] that $A \in B(\mathcal{E} \otimes F^2(H_n))$ is a multi-analytic operator if and only if $A \in B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{R}_n^\infty$, the weakly closed algebra generated by the spatial tensor product. In this case, there exists a unique sequence of operators $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$ in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that A has the Fourier representation $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes R_\alpha$.

The Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem for the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$ is the following: given $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \leq m} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$, find a sequence $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \geq m+1} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes R_\alpha$ is the Fourier representation of an element $f \in B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{R}_n^\infty$ with $\|f\| \leq 1$. This problem was solved in [27] where, using the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem [23], we proved that the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem for the $\mathcal{R}_n^\infty$ has solution if and only if $\|A_m\| \leq 1$, where A_m is defined above.

Lemma 8.1. *Let u be a free pluriharmonic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with operator-valued coefficients. Then u is positive on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ if and only if $u(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If the positive free pluriharmonic function has the representation*

$$u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* \otimes X_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha,$$

then $\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^ A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq \|A_{(0)}\|$ for any $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that u has the representation

$$u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha$$

and $u(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $S_\alpha^{(m)} = 0$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq m+1$, the latter inequality is equivalent to

$$T_m := \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes (S_\alpha^{(m)})^* + A_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(m)} \geq 0$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $T_m^* = T_m$, we deduce that $B_{(\alpha)} = A_{(\alpha)}^*$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.2, one can show that, for any vector of the form $\sum_{|\beta| \leq m} h_\beta \otimes e_\beta \in \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$,

$$\left\langle T_m \left(\sum_{|\beta| \leq m} h_\beta \otimes e_\beta \right), \sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} h_\gamma \otimes e_\gamma \right\rangle = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m, |\gamma| \leq m} \langle K(\gamma, \beta) h_\beta, h_\gamma \rangle,$$

where the operator matrix $[K(\alpha, \beta)]_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m}$ is defined by

$$K(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} A_{(\beta \setminus_r \alpha)}^* & \text{if } \beta >_r \alpha \\ A_{(0)} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \\ A_{(\alpha \setminus_r \beta)} & \text{if } \alpha >_r \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m$. Hence $[K(\alpha, \beta)]_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m}$ is a positive multi-Toeplitz matrix for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. According to [29] there exists a completely positive map $\mu : C^*(R_1, \dots, R_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mu(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}) = A_{(\alpha)}^*$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. Therefore, $u(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\mathcal{P}\mu)(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. Since μ is completely positive, $\mathcal{P}\mu \geq 0$. The converse is obvious.

Since $R_1, \dots, R_n$ are isometries with orthogonal ranges, so are the operators R_α if $|\alpha| = k$, where $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Therefore, the row operator $[R_\alpha : |\alpha| = k]$ has norm one. Since μ is a completely positive linear map, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)}^* A_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} = \|[A_{(\alpha)}^* : |\alpha| = k]\| = \|\mu(R_{\tilde{\alpha}}) : |\alpha| = k\| \leq \|\mu\|_{cb} \|[R_\alpha : |\alpha| = k]\| \leq \|\mu(I)\|.$$

The proof is complete. $\square$

Using our noncommutative Cayley transform (Theorem 7.3), we prove the following Carathéodory interpolation result for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ and coefficients in $B(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space.

Theorem 8.2. *Let $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \leq m}$ be a sequence of operators in $B(\mathcal{E})$ with $B_{(0)} \geq 0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \geq m+1} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ such that*

$$(8.2) \quad g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \frac{B_{(0)}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_\alpha, \quad X := (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function with positive real part, i.e., $\operatorname{Re} g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ in $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, if and only if

$$(8.3) \quad \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)}^* \otimes (S_\alpha^{(m)})^* + B_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(m)} \geq 0.$$

Proof. First, assume that $g \in \operatorname{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and has the representation (8.2). Applying Lemma 8.1 to the free pluriharmonic function $u := 2\operatorname{Re} g$, we deduce condition (8.3).

Conversely, assume that $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \leq m}$ is a sequence of operators in $B(\mathcal{E})$ such that (8.3) holds, and denote

$$(8.4) \quad Y := \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(m)}.$$

First, we consider the case when $B_{(0)} = I_{\mathcal{E}}$. According to Theorem 7.4, the inverse truncated Cayley transform $[\mathcal{C}^{(m)}]^{-1}(Y)$ is a multi-analytic operator on $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{(m)}$, of the form $X := \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_\alpha^{(m)}$ for some operators $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$. Applying the Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation result for multi-analytic operators [27], we find a sequence $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \geq m+1} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes R_\alpha$ is the Fourier representation of an element $\varphi \in B(\mathcal{E}) \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{R}_n^\infty$ with $\|\varphi\| \leq 1$. Let f be the free

holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with boundary function φ , i.e., $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$, $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Since $f \in [H_0^{\infty}(B(\mathcal{H})^n)]_{\leq 1}$, Lemma 7.2 implies

$$(8.5) \quad f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = X.$$

Now, we can use Theorem 7.3 to deduce that the noncommutative Cayley transform $\psi := \mathcal{C}(f)$ is in $Hol_0^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and has a representation $\psi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$. Since $\psi = (1 - f)^{-1}f$ and $\mathcal{C}^{(m)}(X) = Y$, we can use relations (8.5) and (8.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} C_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}^{(m)} &= \psi(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) = (I - f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}))^{-1} f(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \\ &= (I - X)^{-1} X = Y = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{\alpha}^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce that $C_{(\alpha)} = B_{(\alpha)}$ for $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$.

Now, we consider the general case when $B_{(0)} \geq 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and notice that condition (8.3) implies

$$\sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} D_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon)^* \otimes (S_{\alpha}^{(m)})^* + D_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} D_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon) \otimes S_{\alpha}^{(m)} \geq 0,$$

where $D_{(0)} := I$ and $D_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon)^* := (B_{(0)} + \epsilon I)^{-1/2} B_{(\alpha)} (B_{(0)} + \epsilon I)^{-1/2}$, $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$. Applying the first part of the proof, we find a sequence of operators $\{D_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon)\}_{|\alpha| \geq m+1} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ such that

$$\varphi_{\epsilon}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{2}I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} D_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon) \otimes X_{\alpha}, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with positive real part. Define

$$\xi_{\epsilon}(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \left[(B_{(0)} + \epsilon I)^{1/2} \otimes I \right] \varphi_{\epsilon}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \left[(B_{(0)} + \epsilon I)^{1/2} \otimes I \right]$$

for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Notice that ξ_{ϵ} is a free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with positive real part. Moreover, we have

$$\xi_{\epsilon}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{2}(B_{(0)} + \epsilon I) \otimes I + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} C_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon) \otimes X_{\alpha},$$

where $C_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon) := (B_{(0)} + \epsilon I)^{1/2} D_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon) (B_{(0)} + \epsilon I)^{1/2}$ for $|\alpha| \geq m+1$. By Lemma 8.1, we have

$$(8.6) \quad \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} C_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon)^* C_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|B_{(0)} + \epsilon I\|.$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $\|C_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon)\| \leq M$ for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ with $|\alpha| \geq m+1$. Due to Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the ball $[B(\mathcal{E})]_M^-$ is compact in the w^* -topology. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is a separable Hilbert space, $[B(\mathcal{E})]_M^-$ is a metric space in the w^* -topology which coincides with the weak operator topology on $[B(\mathcal{E})]_M^-$. Consequently, the diagonal process guarantees the existence of a sequence $\{\epsilon_m\}$ such that $\epsilon_m \rightarrow 0$ and $G_{(\alpha)} := \text{WOT-}\lim_{\epsilon_m \rightarrow 0} C_{(\alpha)}(\epsilon_m)$ exists if $|\alpha| \geq m+1$. Due to (8.6), we have

$\left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} G_{(\alpha)}^* G_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|B_{(0)}\|$ for any $k \geq 0$. Consequently, $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{|\alpha|=k} G_{(\alpha)}^* G_{(\alpha)} \right\|^{1/2k} \leq 1$. This implies that the function defined by

$$\xi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \frac{1}{2} B_{(0)} \otimes I + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} G_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}$$

for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ is free holomorphic. Since $\text{Re } \xi_{\epsilon}(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and any $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$, we have $\text{Re } \xi_{\epsilon}(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Hence, taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that $\text{Re } \xi(S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_n^{(m)}) \geq 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying once again Lemma 8.1, we conclude that ξ has positive real part and complete the proof. $\square$

We recall that a multi-Toeplitz matrix $[K(\sigma, \omega)]_{|\sigma| \leq m, |\omega| \leq m}$ with $K(\sigma, \omega) \in B(\mathcal{E})$, admits a positive-definite multi-Toeplitz extension to $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ if there exist some operators $K(\sigma, \omega) \in B(\mathcal{E})$ for $|\sigma| \geq m+1$ and $|\omega| \geq m+1$, such that $K : \mathbb{F}_n^+ \times \mathbb{F}_n^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ is a positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz kernel.

In this setting, the Carathéodory interpolation problem is to find all positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz extensions of a positive multi-Toeplitz matrix $[K(\sigma, \omega)]_{|\sigma| \leq m, |\omega| \leq m}$. We proved ([31]) that a multi-Toeplitz kernel on $\{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ : |\sigma| \leq m\}$, admits a positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz extension to $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ if and only if the operator matrix $M_m := [K(\sigma, \omega)]_{|\sigma| \leq m, |\omega| \leq m}$ is positive.

Using Theorem 8.2 and Theorem 5.2, we can obtain another proof of the above-mentioned result as well as the following.

Theorem 8.3. *The following problems are equivalent:*

- (i) *Carathéodory interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ with positive real parts;*
- (ii) *Carathéodory-Fejér interpolation problem for multi-analytic operators;*
- (iii) *Carathéodory interpolation problem for positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz kernels on free semi-groups.*

Proof. To prove the implication (i) $\implies$ (ii), let $\{A_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha| \leq m} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ be such that $\|A_m\| \leq 1$, where A_m has the matrix representation $[A_{\alpha, \beta}]_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m}$, given by (8.1). Notice that $B := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{g_1 \alpha}^{(m+1)}$ is in $[\mathcal{A}_{n,0}^{(m+1)}]_{\leq 1}$ and $g(S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)}) := \mathcal{C}^{(m+1)}(B)$ is in $\mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{(m+1)}$. Therefore,

$$g(S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)}) = \sum_{1 \leq |\sigma| \leq m+1} B_{(\sigma)} \otimes S_{\sigma}^{(m+1)}$$

for some operators $\{B_{(\sigma)}\}_{1 \leq |\sigma| \leq m+1}$, and $g(S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)})^* + I + g(S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)}) \geq 0$. Since (i) holds, we find a sequence of operators $\{B_{(\sigma)}\}_{|\sigma| \geq m+2}$ such that the function $g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \sum_{|\sigma| \geq 1} B_{(\sigma)} \otimes X_{\sigma}$ is free holomorphic and $g(X_1, \dots, X_n)^* + I + g(X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 0$ for $(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$. Due to Theorem 7.3, the function $f := \mathcal{C}^{-1}(g)$ is in $[H_0^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$ and has the form $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{|\beta| \geq 1} C_{(\beta)} \otimes X_{\beta}$. On the other hand, using Theorem 7.4, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f(S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)}) &= [\mathcal{C}^{-1}(g)](S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)}) = [\mathcal{C}^{(m+1)}]^{-1}[g(S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)})] \\ &= [\mathcal{C}^{(m+1)}]^{-1}[g_{m+1}(S_1^{(m+1)}, \dots, S_n^{(m+1)})] = B = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{g_1 \alpha}^{(m+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $C_{(g_1 \alpha)} = A_{(\alpha)}$ and $C_{(g_i \alpha)} = 0$ for any $|\alpha| \leq m$ and $i = 2, \dots, n$. Consequently, the boundary function of the free holomorphic function f has the Fourier representation $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes S_{g_1 \alpha} + \sum_{|\beta| \geq m+2} C_{(\beta)} \otimes S_{\beta}$. Let φ be the free holomorphic function on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ which has the boundary function $(I_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes S_1^*)f$. It is clear now that φ is in $[H^\infty(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)]_{\leq 1}$ and has the form

$$\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha} + \sum_{|\gamma| \geq m+1} C_{(\gamma)} \otimes X_{\gamma},$$

which implies (ii). The proof of the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i) is contained in the proof of Theorem 8.2. We prove now that (i) $\implies$ (iii). Let $[K(\alpha, \beta)]_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m}$ be a positive multi-Toeplitz matrix and set $B_{(\alpha)} := K(\alpha, g_0)$, $|\alpha| \leq m$. Consequently, we have

$$K(\alpha, \beta) := \begin{cases} B_{(\beta \setminus_r \alpha)}^* & \text{if } \beta >_r \alpha \\ B_{(0)} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta \\ B_{(\alpha \setminus_r \beta)} & \text{if } \alpha >_r \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for any $|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.2, one can show that the matrix $[K(\alpha, \beta)]_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m}$ is positive if and only if $T_m \geq 0$.

Applying now Theorem 8.2, there is a sequence of operators $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha|\geq m+1} \subset B(\mathcal{E})$ such that

$$(8.7) \quad g(X_1, \dots, X_n) := \frac{B_{(0)}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=k} B_{(\alpha)} \otimes X_{\alpha}, \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in [B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1,$$

is a free holomorphic function with positive real part. Thus $g \in \text{Hol}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$ and, due to Theorem 5.2, $g \in \mathcal{S}^+(B(\mathcal{H})_1^n)$. Therefore (iii) holds. The converse (iii) $\implies$ (i) is based on similar arguments. Assume that $\{B_{(\alpha)}\}_{|\alpha|\leq m}$ is a sequence of operators such that condition (8.3) holds. Then the matrix $[K(\alpha, \beta)]_{|\alpha|\leq m, |\beta|\leq m}$ is positive and due to (iii) it admits a positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz extension $K : \mathbb{F}_n^+ \times \mathbb{F}_n^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$. Applying again Theorem 5.2, we find a free holomorphic function g of the form (8.7) with positive real part. This completes the proof. $\square$

Using the results of this section together with Theorem 3.1 from [29], we deduce the following result.

Remark 8.4. *The Carathéodory interpolation problem for free holomorphic functions with positive real parts on $[B(\mathcal{H})^n]_1$ has a solution if and only if there is a completely positive linear map*

$$\nu : \mathcal{A}_n^* + \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \nu(S_{\alpha}) = B_{(\alpha)}^*, \quad |\alpha| \leq m,$$

i.e., ν solves the noncommutative trigonometric moment problem for the operator system $\mathcal{A}_n^ + \mathcal{A}_n$, with data $\{B_{(\alpha)}^*\}_{|\alpha|\leq m}$.*

We say that a multi-Toeplitz kernel $K : \mathbb{F}_n^+ \times \mathbb{F}_n^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ has a Naimark dilation if there is a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} \supset \mathcal{E}$ and an n -tuple $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ of isometries on $\mathcal{K}$ with orthogonal ranges such that $K(g_0, \sigma) = P_{\mathcal{E}} V_{\sigma}|_{\mathcal{E}}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$. The Naimark dilation is called minimal if $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} V_{\sigma} \mathcal{E}$. The n -tuple $(V_1, \dots, V_n)$ is called the minimal isometric dilation of K . Extending on the classical case [44], we proved in [31] that a multi-Toeplitz kernel on $\mathbb{F}_n^+$ is positive semidefinite if and only if it admits a minimal Naimark dilation. In this case its minimal Naimark dilation is unique up to an isomorphism.

In [31], we showed that any positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz kernel $K : \mathbb{F}_n^+ \times \mathbb{F}_n^+ \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ uniquely determines and is uniquely determined by a sequence of row contractions $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ called generalized Schur sequence. We also obtained a concrete matrix representation of the minimal Naimark dilation for positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz kernels on free semigroups, in terms of their generalized Schur sequences, extending the noncommutative minimal isometric dilation theorem for row contractions. This geometric version of the minimal Naimark dilation was used to show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all positive multi-Toeplitz matrices $M_m := [K(\sigma, \omega)]_{|\sigma|\leq m, |\omega|\leq m}$ and the Schur sequences $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^m$, and recursively calculate $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j=1}^m$ from $\{K(g_0, \sigma)\}_{|\sigma|\leq m}$. We also obtained a parametrization of all solutions of the Carathéodory interpolation problem for positive semidefinite multi-Toeplitz kernels in terms of generalized Schur sequences. Consequently, using the results of this section, we have now a parametrization of all solutions of any of the Carathéodory type interpolation problems of Theorem 8.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] W.B. ARVESON, Subalgebras of C^* -algebras, *Acta Math.* **123** (1969), 141–224.
- [2] F.A. BEREZIN, Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators, (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.* **36** (1972), 1134–1167.
- [3] H. BOHR, A theorem concerning power series, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **13** (1914), 1–5.
- [4] J. W. BUNCE, Models for n -tuples of noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57**(1984), 21–30.
- [5] C. CARATHÉODORY, Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Koeffizienten von Potenzreihen die gegebene Werte nicht annehmen, *Math. Ann.* **64** (1907), 95–115.
- [6] C. CARATHÉODORY AND L. FEJÉR, Über den Zusammenhang der Extremen von harmonischen Funktionen mit ihren Koeffizienten und über den Picard-Landau'schen Satz, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **32** (1911), 218–239.
- [7] J.B. CONWAY, *Functions of one complex variable. I*. Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **159**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [8] J. CUNTZ, Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [9] K. R. DAVIDSON, E. KATSOUKIS, AND D.R. PITTS, The structure of free semigroup algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **533** (2001), 99–125.
- [10] K. R. DAVIDSON, J. LI, AND D.R. PITTS, Absolutely continuous representations and a Kaplansky density theorem for free semigroup algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **224** (2005), no. 1, 160–191.

- [11] K. R. DAVIDSON AND D.R. PITTS, The algebraic structure of non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras, *Math. Ann.* **311** (1998), 275–303.
- [12] K. R. DAVIDSON AND D.R. PITTS, Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **78** (1999), 401–430.
- [13] E.G. EFFROS AND Z.J. RUAN, *Operator spaces*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, **23**. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [14] E.V. EGERVÁRY AND O. SZÁSZ, Einige Extremalprobleme im Bereiche der trigonometrischen Polynome, *Math. Zeitschrift* **27** (1928), 641–652.
- [15] L. FEJÉR, Über trigonometrische Polynome, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **146** (1916), 53–82.
- [16] A. E. FRAZHO, Models for noncommuting operators, *J. Funct. Anal.* **48** (1982), 1–11.
- [17] G. HERGLOTZ, Über Potenzreihen mit positiven, reellen Teil im Einheitskreis, Berichte über die Verhandlungen der königlich sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig, *Math.-Phys. Klasse* **63** (1911), 501–511.
- [18] K. HOFFMAN, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 1962.
- [19] M.A. NAIMARK, Positive-definite functions on a commutative group, *Bulletin Acad. Sci. URSS* **7** (1943), 237–244.
- [20] V.I. PAULSEN, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986.
- [21] V.I. PAULSEN, G. POPESCU, AND D. SINGH, On Bohr’s inequality, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **85** (2002), 493–512.
- [22] G. PISIER, *Similarity Problems and Completely Bounded Maps*, Springer Lect. Notes Math., Vol.1618, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [23] G. POPESCU, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.
- [24] G. POPESCU, Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **38** (1989), 693–710.
- [25] G. POPESCU, Von Neumann inequality for $(B(H)^n)_1$, *Math. Scand.* **68** (1991), 292–304.
- [26] G. POPESCU, Functional calculus for noncommuting operators, *Michigan Math. J.* **42** (1995), 345–356.
- [27] G. POPESCU, Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces, *Math. Ann.* **303** (1995), 31–46.
- [28] G. POPESCU, Noncommutative disc algebras and their representations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 2137–2148.
- [29] G. POPESCU, Positive-definite functions on free semigroups, *Canad. J. Math.* **48** (1996), no. 4, 887–896.
- [30] G. POPESCU, Poisson transforms on some C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (1999), 27–61.
- [31] G. POPESCU, Structure and entropy for positive definite Toeplitz kernels on free semigroups, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **254** (2001), 191–218.
- [32] G. POPESCU, Curvature invariant for Hilbert modules over free semigroup algebras, *Adv. Math.* **158** (2001), 264–309.
- [33] G. POPESCU, Multivariable Nehari problem and interpolation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **200** (2003), 536–581.
- [34] G. POPESCU, Entropy and Multivariable Interpolation, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **184** (868) (2006).
- [35] G. POPESCU, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of $B(\mathcal{H})^n$, *J. Funct. Anal.* **241** (2006), 268–333.
- [36] G. POPESCU, Multivariable Bohr inequalities, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (2007), 5283–5317.
- [37] G. POPESCU, Unitary invariants in multivariable operator theory, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [38] F. RIESZ, Sur certains systèmes singuliers d’équations intégrales, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (Paris)* **28** (1911), 33–62.
- [39] W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co. (1966).
- [40] W. RUDIN, *Function theory in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-verlag, New-York/Berlin, 1980.
- [41] D. SARASON, Generalized interpolation in H^∞ , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127** (1967), 179–203.
- [42] I. SCHUR, Über Potenzreihen die im innern des Einheitskreises beschränkt sind, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **148** (1918), 122–145.
- [43] W.F. STINESPRING, Positive functions on C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1955), 211–216.
- [44] B. SZ.-NAGY AND C. FOIAŞ, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, North Holland, New York 1970.
- [45] J. VON NEUMANN, Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes, *Math. Nachr.* **4** (1951), 258–281.
- [46] G. WITTSTOCK, Ein operatorwertiger Hahn-Banach Satz, *J. Funct. Anal.* **40** (1981), 127–150.
- [47] G. WITTSTOCK, On matrix order and convexity, in *Functional analysis: Surveys and Recent Results*, *Math. Studies*, Vol **90**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, 175–188.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249, USA

E-mail address: gelu.popescu@utsa.edu